# **Acetylcholinesterase: Enzyme Structure, Reaction Dynamics, and Virtual Transition States**

# DANIEL M. QUINN

Department of Chemistry, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242

*Received February 5, 1987 (Revised Manuscript Received May 28, 1987)* 

# *Contents*



# *I. Introduction*

# **A. Physiological Function and Biomedical Significance**

Acetylcholinesterase (acetylcholine acetylhydrolase, EC 3.1.1.7; abbreviated herein AChE') functions in the



Daniel M. Quinn was born in Monroe City. MO. on January 30, **1950.** He received a B.S. in chemistry in **1972** from Quincy College, Quincy. IL. His graduate work in physical organic chem istry under Richard L. Schowen of the University of Kansas Chemistry Deparfment culminated in a Ph.D. **degree** in **1977.** Two postdoctoral appointments followed: the first. with Eugene H. Cordes of the Indiana University Chemistry Department **(1978-1980).** involved characterization of molecular dynamics and phase organization of lipids and lipoproteins by <sup>13</sup>C NMR; the second was an NIH Traineeship in the laboratory of Judith A. K. Harmony of the University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, and **<sup>R</sup>**introduced Dr. Quinn to **the** vagaries of lipoprotein lipase catalysis. Dr. Quinn moved to the University of Iowa Department of Chew istry in **1982** as an Assistant Professor and was elevated to As sociate Professor in **1987.** His current research interests are characterization of reaction dynamics of hydrolytic enzymes (lipoprotein lipase, cholesterol esterase, and cholinesterases) by isotope effects and other kinetics measurements and the design of mechanism-based enzyme effectors. Dr. Quinn was elected Fellow of the Council on Arteriosclerosis of the American Heart Association in **1982.** He has received a New Investigator Research Award **(1982-1985)** and a Research Career Development Award **(1985-1990)** from the National Heart. Lung and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health.

central and peripheral nervous systems, along with the acetylcholine (ACh) receptor, in the transmission of action potentials across nerve-nerve and neuromuscular synapses? The enzyme's physiological task is the hydrolytic destruction of the cationic neurotransmitter ACh. AChE is an extrinsic membrane-bound enzyme that projects into the synapse. The enzyme springs into action when ACh is released from the presynaptic nerve process in response to an action potential. ACh diffuses across the synapse and hinds to the ACh receptor, which among other functions serves as an ion gate for the entry of  $K^+$  into the postsynaptic nerve process or muscle cell. A series of events follows that results in triggering the action potential in the postsynaptic cell. AChE rapidly terminates the ACh receptor-mediated

**CHART I. Some Inhibitors of AChE** 



ion gating by hydrolyzing ACh.2

Because of the pivotal role that AChE plays in the nervous system, the enzyme has long been an attractive target for the rational design of mechanism-based inhibitors. A sampling of AChE inhibitors is shown in Chart I. These compounds illustrate the range of effects that AChE inhibitors manifest. For example, the chemical warfare agents sarin and soman rapidly phosphorylate a serine residue in the enzyme's active site.3 These compounds are among the most toxic agents ever synthesized by humans and kill by inducing circulatory and respiratory failure via AChE inhibition in the peripheral nervous system. In contrast, the carbamates physostigmine and neostigmine are useful therapeutic agents in the treatment of glaucoma and myasthenia gravis, respectively. ${}^{3}$  The mechanism by which these carbamates manifest their biomedical effects likely involves transient carbamoylation of the active site serine of AChE. A recent report supports a guarded optimism that reversible inhibitors of AChE that can make their way into the central nervous system may be useful in allaying the symptoms of Alzheimer's disease. $3-5$  A hallmark of the disease is a developing cholinergic deficit **as** the disease progresses.6 Thus, it may be possible to increase ACh levels in affected regions of the brain by inhibiting AChE. Accordingly, **1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9-aminoacridine** significantly improved the memories of 16 of 17 elderly Alzheimer's patients in a clinical trial.4 The insecticide malathion was instrumental in combating the medfly infestation in the U.S. in the early 1980s. The species specificity of this AChE inhibitor gives rise to its low toxicity in man and its consequent usefulness in ridding the human food chain of the medfly. As the examples discussed in this paragraph and in Chart I emphasize, our society has a considerable economic, medical, and national security interest in AChE catalysis.

The purpose of this review is not, however, to detail the encyclopedic efforts that have gone into the development and evaluation of AChE inhibitors. Despite the voluminous nature of the AChE literature, the AChE mechanism remains an enigma. This enigma has its basis in both human nature and in evolution. Scientists (human nature) have been quick to consider AChE catalysis an open-and-shut case. As we shall see, wishful analogy between AChE catalysis and the reasonably well-characterized action of serine proteases $7-9$ is partly responsible for this. Evolution's contribution to the AChE enigma derives from the fact that the catalytic power of the enzyme is so highly developed that, at least under some experimental conditions, chemically uninteresting microscopic events are rate limiting. This review will explore work of the past several years on the structure and reaction dynamics of AChE that dispel the myth of strict serine protease analogy. Experiments will be discussed that are beginning to penetrate the enigma of AChE catalysis by providing information on the microscopic events that contribute to rate determination and on the structural features of the chemical transition states that serve as the focus for the evolution of the enzyme's catalytic power. In detailing these experiments, the virtual transition-state approach to describing complex enzyme reaction dynamics will be developed. The virtual transition-state concept<sup>10-12</sup> was first described by  $Schowen<sup>10</sup>$  in 1978 and in the intervening years has been used to characterize reaction dynamics and transitionstate structures for serine protease<sup>13-17</sup> and AChE<sup>18-22</sup> reactions. **As** will be shown below (111. Reaction Dynamics B. The Virtual Transition-State Concept) for the AChE mechanism, the virtual transition-state approach is equivalent to the approach introduced by Northrop<sup>23,24</sup> for probing reaction dynamics and transition-state structures of enzyme-catalyzed reactions.

**SCHEME I. Acylenzyme Mechanism for AChE Catalysis** 



**SCHEME 11. Kinetic Mechanism for AChE Catalysis that Incorporates an Induced-Fit Step** 

$$
E + S \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{\frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}} ES_1 \xrightarrow[k_{-2}]{\frac{k_2}{k_{-2}}} ES_2 \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{\frac{k_3}{k_{-1}}} EA \xrightarrow[k_{2}]{\frac{k_4}{k_{20}}} E + P_2
$$

**E** = **AChE. S** = **substrate, EA** = **acylenzyme, P,,P2** = **products, EST** = **Michaelis complex. ES2** = **induced-tlt complex** 

#### **B. General Catalytic Mechanism**

AChE catalysis occurs via an acylenzyme mechanism that involves nucleophilic (serine) and general acid-base (histidine) elements>% **as** outlined in Scheme I. Froede and Wilson<sup>26</sup> recently provided the first direct evidence for the Scheme I mechanism by trapping the acetylenzyme generated during AChE-catalyzed turnover of ACh and acetylthiocholine (ATCh) at  $[S]_0 \gg K_m$ . For the ACh and ATCh reactions *68%* and **57%,** respectively, of the enzyme was in the acetylenzyme form. These results show that acylation and deacylation both contribute to rate limitation when  $V_{\text{max}}$  is measured. Though the Scheme I mechanism is similar pro forma to that of serine proteases, $^{7-9}$  there are numerous features of AChE catalysis that are distinct. These features will be discussed at various points in this review.

Terrone Rosenberry<sup>2,27</sup> suggested that at least for neutral ester substrates an induced-fit conformation change of AChE occurs after substrate binding but before chemical catalysis. Accordingly, the minimal kinetic mechanism is that of Scheme **11.** In this mechanism,  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  are the chemical steps for acylation and deacylation, respectively. The steady-state kinetic parameters for the mechanism of Scheme I1 are given in eq *1* and *2.* The steady-state rate constants

$$
k_{\rm ES} = \frac{k_2 k_3 k_4}{k_2 k_3 + k_4 (k_2 + k_{-2} + k_3)}\tag{1}
$$

$$
k_{\rm E} = \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3}{k_{-1}(k_{-2} + k_3) + k_2 k_3} \tag{2}
$$

are defined as  $k_{ES}$  and  $k_E$  to emphasize the initial states to which the rate constants refer, i.e., bound states and free enzyme, respectively. As eq 2 shows,  $k_{\rm E}$  monitors conversion of free enzyme and free substrate to a transition state(s) in the acylation stage of catalysis. The rate constant  $k_{ES}$  monitors conversion of bound states  $(ES_1 \text{ and/or } ES_2 \text{ and/or } EA)$  to transition states for acylation and/or deacylation. Hence,  $k_{\rm E}$  always probes acylation reaction dynamics, whereas  $k_{ES}$  probes acylation and/or deacylation.

Rosenberry based his assignment of AChE reaction dynamics on the following observations: (1)  $pK_a$  values determined from  $pH-k<sub>E</sub>$  profiles are well below the intrinsic p $K_a \sim 6.3$  of the active site histidine<sup>2,25,27</sup> for reactive neutral ester substrates, such **as** phenyl acetate and isoamyl acetate. (2)  $pK_a$  values for  $k_E$  of the less reactive ester p-nitrophenyl acetate and for inhibition of the enzyme by diethyl p-nitrophenyl phosphate are *6.1* and *6.2,* respectively, and thus more closely approximate the intrinsic  $pK_a$ . (3) Solvent isotope effects for  $k_{\rm E}$  of reactive neutral esters are near unity, while those for  $k_E$  of less reactive esters and for  $k_{ES}$  of all substrates are  $\sim$ 2. Rosenberry suggested that low solvent isotope effects and  $pK_a$  values for  $k_E$  arise because acylation<sup>28</sup> is prominently rate limited by the pH-insensitive and solvent isotope-insensitive  $k_2$  step.

Equation *2* can be used to rationalize Rosenberry's observations. For example, modest rearranging of eq 2 gives eq 3. In eq 3,  $k_3' = k_1 k_2 k_3 / k_{-1} k_{-2}$  is the overall

$$
k_{\rm E} = k_3' / (1 + C)
$$
, where  $C = k_3 / k_{-2,1}$  (3)

rate constant for conversion of  $E + S$  to the transition state of the  $k_3$  step (i.e., the chemical acylation transition state). The rate constant  $k_{-2,1}$  is a composite rate constant<sup>29</sup> for reversion of  $ES_2$  to  $E + S$ , and comes from eq **4.** *C* in eq 3 is the commitment to chemical

$$
1/k_{-2,1} = 1/k_{-2} + k_2/k_{-1}k_{-2}
$$
 (4)

catalysis in the acylation stage of the AChE reaction and measures the tendency of  $ES<sub>2</sub>$  to proceed to the acylenzyme EA vs. reversion to  $E + S$ . When *C* is small  $k_{\text{E}} = k_3$ ' and the pH-sensitive and solvent isotope-sensitive chemical transition state is solely rate determining. However, when C is large  $k_E$  is given by eq 5. In

$$
k_{\rm E} = \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_{-1} + k_2} \tag{5}
$$

this case,  $k_1$  and/or  $k_2$  is rate determining. Since these rate constants are for substrate binding and induced fit, respectively, it is not surprising that they would not be pH sensitive or produce solvent isotope effects. The low  $pK_a$  values and solvent isotope effects reported by Rosenberry<sup>27</sup> for good neutral ester substrates of AChE thus have their origins in prominent rate determination by  $k_1$  and/or  $k_2$ .

Though Rosenberry's account of acylation reaction dynamics is intuitively satisfying, it lacks a quantitative basis. Unanswered questions remain: *(1)* To what extent do chemical and nonchemical events contribute to rate determination? **(2)** What are the structural features of the transition state of the chemical step  $k_3$ ? (3) What is the intrinsic  $pK_a$  on which the  $k_3$  step depends? Approaches to answering these questions will be outlined in the section on reaction dynamics that deals with the virtual transition-state concept. Moreover, results for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of neutral esters and anilides will be detailed.

# **C. AChE Catalytic Power**

One fact stands out concerning AChE catalysis: the enzyme has amazing catalytic power. The turnover number  $k_{ES}$  is  $>10^4$  s<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>2</sup> which is among the highest turnover numbers reported for enzyme catalysis. For the physiological substrate ACh  $k_E$  is  $> 10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>2,30</sup> and therefore, diffusion of ACh to the active site is probably rate determining.31 This high second-order rate constant is a hallmark of an evolutionarily perfect enzyme.32 A component of the driving force for rapid binding of ACh is the high negative charge density of

**TABLE I. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Binding of Ligands and Substrates to AChEa** 

compound	$K_{\rm d}$ , $\mu$ M	$k_{12}$ , $M^{-1}$ s <sup>-1</sup>
$N$ -methylacridinium $(1)^b$	$0.149 \ (\pm 0.003)$	$1.18 \ (\pm 0.03) \times 10^9$
$N$ -methylacridinium <sup>c</sup>	$0.012$	$1.1 \times 10^{10}$
1-methyl-7-hydroxy- quinolium $(2)^b$	$0.37 (\pm 0.09)$	2.2 ( $\pm 0.2$ ) $\times 10^9$
acetylthiocholine (ATCh) <sup>c</sup>	< 4.2	$4.2 \times 10^9$

 ${}^{\alpha}K_{d}$  is the enzyme-ligand dissociation constant;  $k_{12}$  is the ligand association rate constant.  $^{b}$  Data are taken from ref 34.  $^{c}$  Data are taken from ref 33. The association rate constants were calculated by least-squares extrapolation of the dependence of  $k_{12}$  on ionic strength to 0 ionic strength.  $K_d$  for N-methylacridinium is the measured value at the lowest ionic strength.  $K_d$  for ATCh is the  $K_m$  measured at the lowest ionic strength;  $k_{12}$  for ATCh is the least-squares-extrapolated  $k_{\rm E}$ .

the AChE active site.<sup>33</sup> Rosenberry and Neumann used fluorescence temperature jump relaxation kinetics to measure the rate and equilibrium constants for association of N-methylacridinium (1) and N-methyl-7-



hydroxyquinolinium (2) with AChE at  $\sim 0.1$  M ionic strength.<sup>34</sup> These values are contained in Table I, as are values extrapolated to zero ionic strength of  $k_{12}$  for N-methylacridinium binding and  $k<sub>E</sub>$  for hydrolysis of ATCh. The rate constants in Table  $\overline{I}$  are very high, and are consistent with diffusion-controlled interactions. The net charge of the AChE active site was determined from the ionic strength dependence of  $k_{12}$  for *N*methylacridinium and  $k_{\rm E}$  for ATCh; the respective values were  $Z_{\rm E} = -6.3$  and  $Z_{\rm E} = -9$ . This large negative charge suggests that cationic ligands and ATCh (and also by analogy the physiological substrate ACh) bind to an enzyme surface area larger than the active site itself and proceed to the active site by diffusion on the surface of the enzyme. The very large value of  $k<sub>E</sub>$  for ATCh at ionic strength =  $0$  ( $k_E = 4.2 \times 10^9$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) is consistent with diffusion of substrate to the enzyme or along the enzyme's surface as the rate-determining step. This model is supported by the effects of viscosity on  $k_{\rm E}$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ATCh.<sup>31</sup>



Schowen<sup>10</sup> calculated-catalytic accelerations wrought by AChE by comparing  $\Delta \tilde{G}^*$  calculated from  $k_{\text{E}}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh with  $\Delta G^*$  values for neutral, basic, and acidic hydrolyses of ACh. The enzyme reaction is accelerated vs. these three reactions by about 10,8, and 13 orders of magnitude, respectively. These catalytic accelerations correspond to transitionstate binding energies of  $-13.9$ ,  $-10.6$ , and  $-17.3$  kcal mol-', respectively. These calculations attest to the catalytic power of AChE.

AChE is a promiscuous catalyst, as the broad substrate specificity outlined in Table I1 shows. The enzyme catalyzes reactions of aryl esters, $2,19,20,22,27$  ani- $\rm{lides},^{18,20,21,35,36}$  thioesters, $^{2,37,38}$  amides, $^{39}$  selenoesters, $^{38}$ and acyl homologues $^{2,40}$  and N-demethylated $^{41}$  analogues of ACh. Specificity (i.e.,  $k<sub>E</sub>$ ) is highest for ACh, ATCh, and acetylselenocholine. AChE is an order of magnitude less specific for phenyl acetate. $2.27$  The amide analogue of ACh, acetylazacholine, provides an interesting case in point. This substrate has  $k_{\text{E}} = 4 \times 10^3$  $M^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>39</sup> about five orders of magnitude smaller than  $k<sub>E</sub>$  for ACh. Since the hydrolytic reactivity of amides is about  $10^4$ - to  $10^5$ -fold less than that of structurally similar esters,<sup>42</sup> the catalytic acceleration that AChE brings to bear in hydrolyzing acetylazacholine is similar to that for the physiological substrate ACh. Therefore, even though  $k_{\rm E}$  for acetylazacholine is much smaller than for ACh, the enzyme's catalytic power toward these two substrates is nearly the same. This comparison underscores that use of alternate substrates can be informative in investigations of AChE catalysis. As shall be seen later, investigations of aryl ester and anilide hydrolyses have begun to reveal the dynamic and chemical anatomy of AChE catalysis.

# *II. AChE Structure*

# **A. Tertiary and Quaternary Structure**

AChE is a polymorphic enzyme in vivo. $2,46,47$  The enzyme consists of globular catalytic subunits that have masses of 70-80 K. The assembly of these catalytic subunits in oligomeric structures has been reviewed recently by Rosenberry<sup>46</sup> and by Massoulie and Bon.<sup>47</sup> Salient features of the supramolecular organization of AChE subunits will be summarized herein before



<sup>a</sup> The value of  $k_E$  for ACh is  $1.6 \times 10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; see Table IX of ref 2 and references cited therein. This table is not meant to be an exhaustive exposition of the range of AChE substrates. <sup>\*</sup>The references in bold print are those from which relative  $k_E$  values were calculated. CNumbers refer to structures displayed elsewhere in this review. <sup>d</sup>Calculated from  $k_{\rm E}$  values of p-methoxyphenyl formate and phenyl acetate in ref 19 and 20.

**CHART 11. Representation of Asymmetric and Globular Forms of AChE** 



turning to the more germaine (for this review) primary structure and active site structure and dynamics of the enzyme.

Except for the erythrocyte enzyme, catalytic AChE subunits appear in two major classes of oligomers: globular forms and asymmetric forms (denoted by G and A, respectively). The various constituents of the globular and asymmetric classes are outlined in Chart II. Globular forms include  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  (a disulfide-linked dimer of identical catalytic subunits), and  $G_4$  (a tetramer). Each G subunit contains a single active site. Asymmetric forms contain three major structural **do**mains: catalytic subunits, collagen-like tail, and noncollagenous tail. The collagen-like tail is rich in glycine, hydroxyproline, and hydroxylysine, is degraded by collagenase, and, hence, likely consists of a triple helix of polypeptide units.48 Each polypeptide unit terminates with a short noncollagenous polypeptide  $(\sim 8K)$ molecular mass $46,48$ ) that makes two disulfide bonds to individual globular subunits. **An** extra catalytic dimer, in which subunits are disulfide linked, associates with the disulfide-bonded pair of catalytic subunits at the end of the noncatalytic tail polypeptide. Among the asymmetric forms of AChE are those designated  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_8$ , and  $A_4$  that have twelve, eight, and four catalytic subunits, respectively. The molecular organization of the  $A_{12}$  oligomer is depicted in Chart II. The  $A_8$  and A4 forms have noncatalytic polypeptide chains that are devoid of one and two catalytic tetramers, respectively. Polymorphic AChEs, including asymmetric forms, are found in fish electric organs, avian muscle, mammalian skeletal muscle, and in rat **vagus** nerve, smooth muscle, and heart muscle.<sup>46</sup> Though AChE is polymorphic, activities of catalytic subunits in the asymmetric and globular forms of the enzyme are similar.49

In contrast to the sources just discussed, some cells produce predominantly or exclusively globular AChEs, as discussed in the recent review by Rosenberry.<sup>46</sup> Of these, the structure of the human erythrocyte enzyme has been studied in some detail. This enzyme is viewed as a membrane-bound, disulfide-linked dimer<sup>46,50</sup> of identical  $75K$  subunits (i.e., a  $G_2$  form). Brimijoin and Mintz<sup>51</sup> used monoclonal antibodies to investigate the epitope distribution and cellular location of human erythrocyte AChE. Five of six antibodies interact with intact washed erythrocytes, which suggests that most of the enzyme dimer is external to the cell membrane. The failure of the sixth antibody to react could signal that an AChE epitope is localized in or near the external lipid layer of the cell membrane. The structure, cellular location, and catalytic mechanism of erythrocyte AChE are of general interest, since monoclonal antibodies have

been used to demonstrate homologies among erythrocyte, neuromuscular junction, and brain enzymes. $52,53$ 

Rosenberry and co-workers<sup>54</sup> called human erythrocyte AChE an "amphipathic" protein, which consists of a large hydrophilic catalytically active domain and a small hydrophobic domain that is responsible for membrane binding. The purified enzyme is a disulfide-linked dimer in nonionic detergent solutions but forms soluble aggregates when detergent is absent. Enzyme monomers produced by disulfide reduction maintain their detergent-binding properties and tendency toward aggregation. Treatment of purified human erythrocyte AChE (either as enzyme aggregates or as complexes with phospholipid liposomes or detergent micelles) with papain releases a short hydrophobic peptide of 3.1K mass. The remaining hydrophilic domain is scarcely smaller than the intact **75K** catalytic subunit, no longer binds to TX100 micelles or phospholipid liposomes, does not self-aggregate, but retains full catalytic activity. $54,55$  Hence, the papain-released hydrophobic domain is the membrane-binding segment of the enzyme and must constitute the amino or carboxyl terminal region. The hydrophobic domain was identified **as** the carboxyl terminal fragment by radiomethylation of amino groups of the intact protein with  $[14C]H<sub>2</sub>CO$  and NaCNBH $<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>54,56</sup> This procedure labeled</sub> the amino terminus, which was identified as glutamate or arginine (detected in a 2 to 1 ratio, with a summed stoichiometry of 1 amino acid/AChE subunit<sup>56</sup>). The hydrophobic carboxyl terminal fragment contains 1 mol each of histidine, glycine, glucosamine, palmitate, 22:4  $+ 22:5$  fatty acids,<sup>57</sup> and 2 mol of ethanolamine. The fragment is therefore a proteolipid, whose sequence is **Hi~-Gly-ethanolamine-Z.~~-~~** 

The human erythrocyte enzyme is not unique among AChEs in having a glycolipid-containing hydrophobic membrane-binding domain. The membrane-binding domain of the dimeric enzyme from *Torpedo californica*  is cleaved by phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PIPLC), $59$  and the sheep platelet membranebound AChE is similarly cleaved by PIPLC.<sup>60</sup>

# **B. Prlmary Structure**

The amino acid sequence of *T. californica* AChE, which was determined from the requisite cDNA sequence, was recently reported by Schumacher et al. $61$ They describe several features of the sequence that are noteworthy: (1) The cDNA sequence indicates that there is a continuous stretch of 13 amino acids that likely comprise a leader sequence and that is missing in the native enzyme. This peptide is rich in hydrophobic amino acids, which is consistent with a putative membrane-spanning function. Therefore, catalytic subunits of T. *californica* AChE are likely synthesized as proproteins that are cleaved to the native subunits before or during export of the enzyme from the cell. (2) The native enzyme contains a single polypeptide of 575 amino acids and has a calculated  $M_r$  of 65612. Since catalytic subunits of the native enzyme contain 7-8% carbohydrate, the sequence produces a *M,* that is consistent with *M,* 70-80K determined by electrophoresis of purified catalytic subunits of various AChEs.<sup>46,47</sup> (3) Ser<sup>200</sup> in the sequence is labeled with [3H]diisopropylfluorophosphate, and hence comprises the nucleophilic element of the active site. The peptide sequence about

**CHART 111. Comparison of Active Site Sequences of AChE and Other Serine Enzymes@** 

protein	amino acid sequence
Torpedo californica AChE <sup>66</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> N-Thr-Val-Thr-Ile-Phe-Gly-Glu-Ser-Ala-Gly-Gly-Ala-Ser-Val-Gly-Met-His-Ile-Leu-Ser-COOH
Electrophorus electricus AChE <sup>67</sup>	-Gly-Gly-Glu-Ser-Ser-Glu-Gly-Ala-Ala-Gly-
equine aliesterase <sup>66</sup>	-Phe-Gly-Glu-Ser-Ala-Gly-Ala-Ala-Ser-
human serum BuChE <sup>b,66</sup>	-Ser-Val-Thr-Leu-Phe-Gly-Glu-Ser-Ala-Gly-Ala-Ala-Ser-Val-Ser-Leu-His-Leu-Leu-Ser-
bovine trypsinogen <sup>66</sup>	-Lys-Asp-Ser-Cys-Gln-Gly-Asp-Ser-Gly-Gly-Pro-Val-Val-Cys-Ser-Gly-Lys-
porcine trypsin <sup>66</sup>	-Lys-Asp-Ser-Cys-Gln-Gly-Asp-Ser-Gly-Gly-Pro-Val-Val-Cys-Asn-Gly-Gln-
bovine milk $LpL^{c,68}$	-Ile-Gly-Ile-His-Trp-Gly-Gly-Ser-Pro-Asn-Gln-Lys-Asn-Gly-Ala-Val-Phe-Ile-Asn-
protein lipase.	<sup>a</sup> The arrow denotes the position of Ser <sup>200</sup> in the sequence of T. californica AChE. <sup>66</sup> b BuChE = Butyrylcholinesterase. <sup>c</sup> LpL = Lipo-

the active site serine is shown in Chart I11 and is compared to active site sequences of serine proteases and other esterolytic enzymes. **(4)** The serine proteases contain a catalytic triad of precisely oriented active site amino acids (His $^{57}$ , Asp $^{102}$ , and Ser $^{195}$  in chymotrypsin; $^{62}$ Asp<sup>32</sup>, His<sup>64</sup>, and Ser<sup>221</sup> in subtilisin<sup>63</sup>). This triad has been called the charge relay system $64$  and stabilizes chemical catalytic transition states via coupled proton transfers. The active site serine of AChE is in a position in the sequence that is close to that of the serine proteases. However, the AChE sequence does not contain putative active site His or Asp residues that are positioned similarly to those of the serine proteases. Therefore, the oft-used serine protease analogy is not supported by comparisons of primary structures.

The pattern of disulfide bonds and the consequent protein folding they produce in *T. californica* AChE are also different from those of mammalian and bacterial serine proteases. $65$  AChE contains three pairs of disulfide bonds and, hence, three disulfide loops:  $Cys^{67}-Cys^{94}$ ,  $Cys^{254}-Cys^{265}$ , and  $Cys^{402}-Cys^{521}$ . The comparison of amino acid sequences in Chart I11 also underscores the structural distinctness of AChE and serine proteases.<sup>66</sup> There is only limited homology between the two classes of enzymes in the active site regions. However, no significant homology extends through the rest of the sequences. The closest sequence homology with other serine enzymes is with carboxylesterases and aliesterases; Chart I11 shows considerable homology in the active site regions of Torpedo AChE and equine aliesterase. Torpedo AChE also shows considerable active site region homology with human serum BuChE, which suggests that the reaction dynamics of these two enzymes may be similar. AChE and BuChE also display homology in their amino terminal sequences,<sup>66</sup> though not of the degree displayed by the active center regions in Chart 111. The chart also shows that the active center region of bovine milk LpL<sup>68</sup> has almost nil homology with those of AChE and other serine enzymes. Hence, it seems that nucleophilic catalysis by serine is such a successful element of esterolytic enzyme catalytic power that it has been widely selected by convergent evolution. However, the comparisons among AChE, serine proteases, and LpL in Chart **I11** suggest that the catalytic mechanisms of these enzymes, though similar, probably have important differences.

# **C. Active Slte Structure**

The AChE active site consists of three major domains: (1) an esteratic locus, comprised of the active site serine;<sup>66,67</sup> (2) an anionic locus that is  $\geq 4.7$  Å from

**CHART IV. Representation of the Active Site of AChE"** 



 $a_n = 6-9$ . Cross-hatched areas denote sites of hydrophobic interaction.

the esteratic serine, where the quaternary ammonium pole of ACh and of various active site ligands binds;<sup>2,3,25</sup> (3) a hydrophobic region that is contiguous with or near the esteratic and anionic loci and that is important in binding aryl substrates and active site ligands. A stylized diagram of the domains of the active site is given in Chart IV. A fourth domain in the enzyme binds cationic ligands, such as gallamine, d-tubocurarine, and decamethonium **(3).** This domain is **>20** 

$$
{}^{2}_{(CH_3)^{1}_{3}N(CH_2)^{10}}N^{(CH_3)^{3}}
$$

**3** 

Å from the active site<sup>69</sup> and has been called the peripheral anionic site.<sup>70,71</sup> Ligand occupation of the peripheral anionic site frequently changes the conformation of the active center.<sup>72,73</sup> The four domains of AChE conspire to produce the complex reaction dynamics and active site conformational dynamics that are hallmarks of the enzyme. Below, some salient features of interactions in each of these domains are discussed.

#### *1. Esteratic Locus*

In addition to Ser<sup>200</sup>, the esteratic locus of AChE is thought to contain the imidazole functionality of histidine,<sup>2,3,25,74,75</sup> which likely functions as a general acid-base catalyst in the formation and decomposition of tetrahedral intermediates, **as** outlined in Scheme **111.**  The active site histidine is thought to have an intrinsic  $pK_a \sim 6.3^{0.25,76,77}$  The rate of formation of tetrahedral intermediates will depend on the basic form of the histidine and, hence, pH-rate profiles for AChE reactions should give  $pK_a$  values of about 6.3. This is the case for  $k_{\text{E}}$  and  $k_{\text{ES}}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of  $\rm{ACh^{2,27,76}}$  and for  $k_{\rm ES}$  of  $\rm{ATCh^{77}}$  and phenyl acetate $^{27,76,77}$ hydrolyses. However, various uncharged substrates have pH- $k_{\rm E}$  profiles that give p $K_{\rm a}$  values that are well below  $6.3.^{2,\overline{18}-21,27,76,77}$  In addition, reactivity of neutral carbamylating reagents with the AChE active site de-

**SCHEME 111. Chemical Mechanism for AChE Catalysis** 



pends on a p $K_{\rm a}$  well below 6.3.<sup>78</sup> A quantitative rationale for this pH-rate behavior will be developed in the reaction dynamics section of this review.

The mechanism of Scheme III bears some resemblance to the serine protease mechanism, $7-9$  save that no mention is made of an aspartate or glutamate residue that would complete an AChE "charge relay" system. Such a system has been shown to operate for a wide range of serine protease reactions when transition-state proton bridges are directly studied by measurements of solvent isotope effects and proton inventories. $17,79-82$ The use of these probes to determine that AChE operates as a simple general acid-base catalyst (i.e., transition states involve single-proton bridges, as outlined in Scheme 111) will be detailed later in this review.

Various observations support the mechanism of Scheme 111. *An* acetylenzyme intermediate accumulates during AChE-catalyzed turnover of ACh and ATCh.<sup>26</sup> The acetylenzyme is rapidly hydrolyzed, with a  $t_{1/2}$  <0.1 ms.<sup>2,26</sup> The enzyme is readily inhibited by carbamates and by acyl halides of substituted phosphoric, phosphonic, carbamic, and sulfonic acids. $2,3.25$  These inhibitions produce carbamyl-, phosphoryl-, phosphonyl-, and sulfonylenzyme analogues of the acylenzyme intermediate, which turn over very slowly if at all. Though there is no direct evidence for tetrahedral intermediates in the AChE mechanism, the enzyme shows esteratic locus complimentarity for inhibitors that probably bind in modes that structurally mimic tetrahedral intermediates. For example, N,N,N-trimethylammonium bromide methylborinic acid **(4)** is a potent



reversible inhibitor of *Electrophorus Electricus*  AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh, with a **Ki** - 20 nM at pH **7.La3** In contrast, the ketone analogue **5** binds

2500-fold less tightly to the enzyme.84 Compound **4**  probably binds at the esteratic locus with the  $\gamma$ -OH of serine bonded to the electrophilic boron, thereby generating a tetrahedral adduct that structurally mimics the tetrahedral intermediate in the acylation stage of catalysis (cf. Scheme 111). This mode of binding accounts for the potent inhibition of serine proteases by boronic acids.<sup>85-88</sup> *m-(N,N,N-Trimethylammonio)tri*fluoroacetophenone **(6)** is a slow, tight-binding inhibitor of AChE;89 the concentration of **6** that inactivates the enzyme by 50% after a 30-min incubation is 13 nM. Fluoro ketone analogues **7** and **8** of ACh, with a *tert*butyl replacing the trimethylammonio function, have been synthesized by Gelb et al.<sup>90</sup> These compounds are competitive inhibitors, with respective  $K_i$  values of 16 and 1.6 nM. Inhibitor **8** is the most potent reversible AChE inhibitor known. l-Chloro-3-phenoxy-2 propanone **(9)** is a rapid, reversible AChE inhibitor, with  $K_i = 0.85 \mu M^{91}$  Halo ketones 6-9 owe their potency as AChE inhibitors to probable formation of tetrahedral hemiketal adducts with the active site serine.

The Scheme III mechanism is by no means the only one that can be written that involves the active site histidine in general acid-base catalysis. For example, the histidine may catalyze a "proton switch" $92$  by transferring the proton from the active site serine or from  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  to the oxyanion of the incipient tetrahedral intermediates of the acylation and deacylation stages of catalysis, respectively. Furthermore, compelling evidence that histidine is the active site function that has a  $pK_a = 6.3$  and that is involved in general acidbase catalysis is lacking. Covalent labeling and consequent active site peptide isolation and structure elucidation would provide the requisite support for histidine's putative role in AChE catalysis. In addition, X-ray structural characterization of native AChE and of modified AChEs, such as carbamylenzymes or complexes with transition-state analogues, should one day provide a firmer basis for the postulation of detailed mechanistic models. Though such structural information is lacking, the Scheme I11 mechanism serves as a useful framework for interpretation of the results of various mechanistic probes, as shall be seen later.

# *2. Anionic Locus*

The anionic locus of the AChE active site is **24.7 A**  from the serine hydroxyl of the esteratic locus,<sup>25</sup> and contains multiple negative charges, $33$  as indicated in Chart IV. *An* anionic locus in the active site is signalled by the interaction of various types of compounds with  $\text{AChE, including:}^{2,3,25}$  (1) aromatic cations, (2) tetraalkylammonium salts, (3) aziridinium covalent modifying reagents,<sup>93,94</sup> (4) bisquaternary ammonium compounds that span the peripheral anionic locus and the anionic locus of the active site, *(5)* pyridinium reactivators of active site phosphorylated and phosphonylated AChEs.

Controversy has arisen over the last 7 years concerning whether there is a true anionic locus in the active site. Hasan et al.<sup>44</sup> proposed that the locus on the enzyme that binds the quaternary functionality of ACh and various inhibitors is a hydrophobic trimethyl binding site. They based this model on the fact that for a series of substrates  $XCH_2CH_2OCOCH_3$  (X =

**TABLE 111. Comparison of Charged and Uncharged Reversible Inhibitors of AChE"** 

entry	structure	$K_i$ , mM	
А	$Me3N+CH2CH2CH2COCH3 I-$	0.022	
в	$Me3CN+H2CH2CH2COCH3Cl-$	0.16	
С	$Me3CSCH2CH2COCH3$	0.40	
D	Me <sub>2</sub> N <sup>+</sup> HCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COCH <sub>3</sub> Cl <sup>-</sup>	0.77	
Е	$Me3COCH2CH2COCH3$	1.6	
F	$Me2CHN+H2CH2CH2COCH3Cl-$	2.0	
G	$Me2CHOCH2CH2COCH3$	4.8	
н	$Me2CHCH2CH2CH2COCH3$	2.8	
	$Me3N+CH2CH2CH2B(OH)CH3Br-$	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	

 $A$ ll  $K_i$  values are for competitive inhibition of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh. Entries A-G are from ref 96. Entry H is from ref 97. Entry I is from ref 83.

nonpolar, polar, and cationic groups) AChE reactivity increased with increasing OH-catalyzed hydrolytic reactivity of the esters. In addition, they showed a correlation of the partial molal volume of the  $\beta$ -substituent **X** and the second-order rate constant  $k<sub>E</sub>$  for AChE catalysis. The correlation with hydrolytic reactivity is not surprising, since both reactions involve attack of oxygen nucleophiles on the carbonyl carbon of substrates. The correlation of  $\beta$ -substituent volume with AChE reactivity is not particularly revealing, since the transfer of hydrocarbons in a homologous series from aqueous solution to lipidlike organic phases correlates with hydrocarbon surface area.95 Since increasing volume of the  $\beta$ -substituents of AChE substrates is directly proportional to increasing  $\beta$ -substituent surface area, the correlation reported by Hasan et al. may indicate nothing more than that for charged and uncharged substrates the second-order rate constant  $k_{\rm E}$  gauges (among other enzyme-substrate interactions) transfer of substrate from solution to a lipidlike environment at the AChE active site. There is no reason to assert, a priori, that a tert-butyl substituent binds in the same lipidic active site environment as does a trimethylammonio substituent.

Hasan et al.<sup>96</sup> also compared the effects of various inhibitors on AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh and of the uncharged isosteric analogue 3,3-dimethylbutyl acetate (DMBA). Table I11 gives the structures and competitive inhibition constants of some of the inhibitors described by Hasan et al. $96$  (entries A-G). Comparison of inhibitors A and D and inhibitors B and F shows that removal of a methyl group from the quaternary ammonio and tert-butyl functions reduces inhibitor potency 10- to 35-fold, which supports the importance of trimethyl substitution distal to the carbonyl. Movement of the + charge closer to the carbonyl (compare A and B) results in  $\sim$  7-fold reduction in inhibitor potency. Hasan et al. compare  $K_i$  values for B, C, and  $\dot{E}$  and consequently assert that the  $+$  charge only contributes 3- to 10-fold to binding. However, one could argue that C and E are uncharged analogues of A, and hence, the + charge contributes 18- to 73-fold to binding. There are two additional shortcomings in the comparisons that Hasan et al. make: (1) Uncharged and charged inhibitors may not bind equivalently to the active site. For example, 6-methyl-2-heptanone (entry H) binds with roughly the same affinity **as** E and G and only 7-fold less well than C. However, Dafforn et al.<sup>97</sup> have shown that aliphatic ketones are 30- to 170-fold less effective in protecting AChE against inactivation by the active site serine modifying reagent methyl-

**CHART V. Charged and Neutral Methylphosphono-AChE Complexes** 



sulfonyl fluoride than expected from their  $K_i$  values for inhibition of ACh hydrolysis. Hence, it is doubtful that all the uncharged inhibitors in Table I11 interact appreciably with the esteratic locus of the active site. (2) Relative binding of inhibitors A-G may be a poor reflection of the importance of substrate  $+$  charge on binding in the transition state. This point is underscored by the fact that I binds  $\sim$  1000-fold more tightly than does A. If A is a transition-state analogue,  $98$  it is not a very good one. Uncharged analogues of I would provide a much better comparison for the effect of + charge on AChE catalysis. Perhaps the most germaine comparison is that of  $k_E$  values. As Fersht<sup>99</sup> has shown,  $k_{\rm E}$  reflects the strength of interaction of enzyme and substrate in the transition state. As discussed earlier in this review,  $k<sub>E</sub>$  is the rate constant for conversion of free  $E + S$  to the rate-determining acylation transition state. For ACh and DMBA Hasan et al.<sup>44</sup> measured  $k_{\text{E}}$ values of  $4.8 \times 10^7$  and  $1.2 \times 10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Therefore, + charge has a 40-fold effect on substrate binding where it counts most, in the transition state.

Clearly, a method is required to resolve the controversy over whether there is an anionic locus or a trimethyl-binding locus in the AChE active site. Recent experiments by Berman and  $Decker<sup>100</sup>$  appear to resolve the issue. They inhibited T. *californica* AChE with closely isosteric  $\beta$ -(trimethylamino)ethyl and 3,3-dimethylbutyl methylphosphonofluoridates. These compounds phosphonylate the active site Ser<sup>200</sup> to produce tetrahedral adducts that structurally mimic the tetrahedral intermediate in the acylation stage of catalysis (compare Scheme I11 and Chart V). Since enzymes catalyze reactions by binding more tightly to transition states than to other ES complexes,  $^{10,101}$  it is reasonable to expect that AChE binds tightly to high-energy tetrahedral intermediates and, by analogy, to tetrahedral phosphonyl complexes. Therefore, phosphonyl-AChEs should adopt catalytically relevant conformations. If the anionic locus is actually an uncharged trimethyl binding site, $44,96,102$  the quaternary ammonio and trimethyl functions of the respective phosphonylenzymes should occupy the same site. Binding of various ligands to the phosphonylenzymes and to native AChE shows that this is not so. The fluorescent diquaternary ligand decidium **(10)** binds to the native enzyme with its aro-



matic moiety binding to the peripheral anionic site and the trimethylamino function in the active site. $103$  The dissociation constant of the dimethylbutyl methylphosphono-AChE complex with decidium  $(K_d = 54 \pm 1)$ 

12 nM) is nearly the same **as** that for the complex with the native enzyme  $(K_d = 21 \pm 2 \text{ nM})$ , whereas that for the charged methylphosphono-AChE complex is **100**  fold higher  $(K_d = 4.3 \pm 1 \mu M)$ . These results are consistent with occupation of an active site anionic locus in the charged methylphosphono-AChE complex but not in the uncharged complex, as shown schematically in Chart V. That the anionic locus of the active site is not occupied in the uncharged complex is supported by the fact that various  $n$ -alkyl mono- and bisquaternary ligands bind with the same affinity to native AChE and to dimethylbutyl methylphosphono-AChE.<sup>100</sup> This is not the case, however, for pyrenebutyl methylphosphono- AChE, to which decidium binds with 100 fold lower affinity relative to binding to native AChE.<sup>100</sup> In this case, the pyrenebutyl moiety extends far enough to overlap the anionic locus of the active site. Therefore, though the inhibitor portions of the charged and uncharged methylphosphono-AChEs are sterically equivalent, the conformations of AChE that accommodate the respective functionalities are not.

There is no doubt that  $\text{Ser}^{200}$  is covalently labeled in the uncharged complex, since binding thereto of cations such as edrophonium (tensilon, **11)** and N-methyl-



acridinium **(l),** which are known to bind at the anionic locus of the active site, $^{2,3,104}$  is greatly reduced vs. binding to the native  $\angle ACHE$ .<sup>100</sup> The different effects of the uncharged methylphosphono function on binding of active-site-directed cations vs. alkyl mono- and bisquaternary ligands and decidium is consistent with either of the following explanations: (1) heterogeneity of cation binding sites near the active site serine,  $^{100}$  (2) different modes of anionic locus binding of the trimethylammonio functions of decidium and alkyl monoand bisquaternary ligands vs. the cationic aromatic ligands. The first explanation is supported by the estimate of Nolte et al.<sup>33</sup> of  $\geq 6$  negative charges in the anionic locus of the AChE active site. The latter explanation is consistent with the effects of aromatic cations such as N-methylacridinium (1) and 1 **methyL2-(hydroxyiminomethyl)pyridinium** (2-PAM, 12) on AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of alkyl acetates.<sup>105</sup> N-methylacridinium accelerates AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of methyl acetate (3-fold), ethyl acetate (5-fold), and n-propyl acetate (2-fold) but inhibits hydrolysis of n-butyl acetate. 2-PAM shows the same trend, though the effects are not as great. Hence, when the substrate is large enough (i.e.,  $n$ -butyl acetate), the binding domains of ligands and substrate overlap and inhibition occurs. A similar overlap of binding domains probably accounts for the greatly reduced binding of tensilon and N-methylacridinium to dimethylbutyl methylphosphono-AChE vs. binding to native AChE.<sup>100</sup> Binding of decidium and **alkyl** mono- and bisquaternary ligands to the anionic locus of the active site may not involve overlap of binding domains with the uncharged methylphosphono function. At the present time, there are no experimental data that clearly distinguish between the two explanations offered herein for the various effects on ligand binding of dimethylbutyl methylphosphonylation of AChE.

The experiments of Berman and Decker<sup>100</sup> that are discussed in the preceding two paragraphs provide a potential structural rationale for the induced fit that Rosenberry<sup>2,27</sup> suggested is rate controlling in the acylation stage of AChE-catalyzed hydrolyses of neutral esters. The conformation of the  $\beta$ -(trimethylammonio)ethyl methylphosphono-AChE complex mimics the tetrahedral intermediate in the acylation stage of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of cationic substrates and involves simultaneous interaction at the anionic and esteratic loci of the active site (cf. Scheme I11 and Chart V), This conformation must be rapidly induced during AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh, perhaps by the developing interaction of the anionic locus with the trimethylammonio pole of ACh, since the acylation stage of this reaction is rate limited by diffusion.<sup>2,31,33</sup> Consequently, it is reasonable to assert that the AChE conformation that is induced on ACh binding is optimally potent catalytically and, thus, must align active site functionalities for maximal stabilization of the chemical transition states that follow. The conformation of the enzyme in the dimethylbutyl methylphosphono-AChE complex, on the other hand, mimics the tetrahedral intermediate in the acylation stage of neutral substrate turnover and leaves the anionic locus of the active site open, as discussed by Berman and Decker<sup>100</sup> and shown schematically in Chart V. Since the quaternary ammonium pole is not available to drive the conformation change during acylation with neutral substrates, this step may become partly or solely rate determining.

This model for active site dynamics during the acylation stage of catalysis is consistent with the demonstrated conformational flexibility of the active site. Berman and Decker<sup>103</sup> used the fluorescent ligand decidium **(10)** to characterize the effect of aging of cycloheptyl methylphosphono- AChE (cf. Scheme IV) on the conformation of the active center. Aged AChE is insensitive to reactivation by nucleophiles such as 2- PAM that bind at the anionic locus of the active site. $2,3$ Native and aged enzymes bind decidium with nearly equal affinity  $(K_d \sim 20 \text{ nM})$ . Binding of active site and peripheral site ligands and ligands that span both sites was determined from fluorescence changes that accompany displacement of decidium by the ligands. Binding of N-methylacridinium (1) and phenyltrimethylammonium (anionic locus of the active site) and of propidium (peripheral site) is not very different for aged and native AChEs. However, binding of edrophonium **(11)** to the anionic locus of the active site is decreased 130-fold in the aged vs. the native enzyme, which is consistent with a conformational change that disrupts an H bond between the inhibitor and an acceptor residue in the active site.<sup>106</sup> Berman and Decker<sup>103</sup> proposed that in the aged enzyme the distance between the negatively charged methylphosphonyl-Ser<sup>200</sup> and the anionic locus of the active site has increased because of charge-charge repulsion. The 76-fold enhancement of binding of decamethonium **(3,** spans peripheral anionic and active sites) at low ionic strength to the aged vs. the native enzyme also demonstrates that aging changes the protein's conformation.

Amitai et al. $107$  suggested that nonaged and aged pyrenebutyl phosphoryl-AChEs are conformationally

**SCHEME IV. Aging and Reactivation of Methylphosphono-AChE (R** = **Cycloheptyl)** 



different. An increased distance between  $\text{Ser}^{200}$  and the anionic locus also explains the insensitivity of aged AChE to reactivators such as 2-PAM **(12).** Berman et al.<sup>108</sup> used steady-state and time-correlated fluorescence to characterize the rotational mobilities of various AChE ligands. Steady-state polarizations of the pyrenebutyl methylphosphono-AChE complex with tetrameric AChE yielded a rotational correlation time of  $\sim$ 400 ns. Anisotropy decay of the propidium-AChE complex, wherein the peripheral anionic site is occupied, yielded a rotational correlation time of  $\sim$ 150 ns. Similar experiments for active-site-modified (dansylsulfonamido)pentyl and pyrenebutyl methylphosphono-AChEs gave rotational correlation times of  $\sim$ 300 ns. Anisotropy decay for the latter probe was biphasic, however, and yielded a second rotational correlation time of 18 ns. These correlation times indicate that the various probes reorient not only via rotation of the protein subunits but also via internal motion of the active site or peripheral site.

Rosenberry and Bernhard<sup>109</sup> characterized competitive and uncompetitive inhibitions of AChE-catalyzed hydrolyses of ACh, **N-methyl-7-acetoxyquinolinium**  iodide **(13)** and 1-naphthyl acetate. Competitive in-



hibition dissociation constants were similar for the reactions of the three substrates in the presence of various inhibitors, including: flaxedil **(14),** N-methyl-5- and 7-hydroxyquinolinium iodides **(2),** 2-PAM **(12),** decamethonium bromide (3), N-methyl-5- and 7-acetoxyquinolium iodides **(13),** 1-naphthyl acetate **(15),** 1-

**TABLE IV. Synergistic Inhibition by Naphthyl Ligands of AChE-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of N-Methyl-7-acetoxyquinolinium Iodide (13)"** 

inhibitor	$K_{\rm m}$ , mM	$K_{\alpha}$ , mM	$K_c/K_{\rm u}$
1-naphthyl acetate	$0.039 \ (\pm 0.002)$	$0.8 \ (\pm 0.1)$	20(.13)
1-naphthol	$0.31$ ( $\pm 0.02$ )	$1.7 (\pm 0.5)$	$5.5 \ (\pm 1.7)$
1-naphthylamine	$0.54~(\pm 0.06)$	$1.3 \ (\pm 0.3)$	$2.4~(\pm 0.7)$

)ata are taken from ref 109.

**SCHEME V. Conformational Equilibrium Model for AChE Catalysis and Interaction with Inhibitory Ligands** 



naphthol, and ACh. Furthermore, pairs of substrates competitively inhibit each other with inhibition constants that equal their respective  $K_m$  values, which establishes that the three substrates, though structurally diverse, are hydrolyzed at a common active site. Flaxedil binds to the peripheral anionic site. $106$  Hence, both competitive and uncompetitive inhibitions by flaxedil must be mediated by relay of conformational change from the peripheral site to the active site. An important finding of this study is the synergistic binding effect of pairs of inhibitors. Examples are given in Table IV and show that inhibitor binding in ternary complexes with the cationic substrate **13,** itself an inhibitor of ACh and 1-naphthyl acetate hydrolyses, is tighter than in binary complexes, as reflected in  $K_{\rm c}/K_{\rm u}$ Rosenberry and Bernhard<sup>109</sup> interpret this binding synergy and other observations in terms of conformational plasticity of the AChE active site, **as** represented by the mechanism of Scheme V. ACh, flaxedil, and the hydroxyquinolium iodides interact with  $E^0$ ,  $ES^0$ , and  $EA<sup>0</sup>$ , while 2-PAM and the acetoxyquinolinium iodides interact with E\*, ES\*, and EA\*, which are catalytically inactive. The inactive conformation is envisioned as separating the esteratic and anionic loci of the active site, which enables simultaneous interaction of the respective sites with naphthalene derivatives and aromatic cations. This model facilitates rationalization of synergistic competitive inhibition of ACh turnover by  $2$ -PAM and 1-naphthyl acetate,<sup>109</sup> uncompetitive inhibition of acylenzyme turnover,  $2,110,111$  and acceleration of alkyl acetate turnover by aromatic cations that bind at the anionic locus of the active site.<sup>105,112</sup> However, Rosenberry and  $\text{Bernhard}^{\text{109}}$  point out that the mechanism of Scheme V is not solely capable of explaining these kinetic behaviors. **A** model in which ligands induce the  $E^0$  and  $E^*$  conformations is equally viable.

The gist of the discussion in this section of the review is not only that AChE has a multifunctional active site comprised of esteratic and anionic loci, but also that AChE possesses conformational flexibility of catalytic significance. This conformational flexibility is seen in structural studies of covalently modified AChEs and in studies of ligand interactions at the peripheral anionic site and at the active site. As shall be developed in detail later (111. Reaction Dynamics), active site conformational flexibility is also an intrinsic feature of the induced-fit model for AChE catalytic power.

### **3.** *Hydrophobic Regions*

The discussions in the preceding section illustrate the capacity of the AChE active site for interaction with hydrophobic substrates and ligands. The anionic locus of the active site interacts with various classes of hydrophobic ligands: aromatic cations.<sup>2,3,25,33,105,109</sup> bisquaternary ammonium ligands (e.g. decamethonium,  $3$ ,<sup>2,3,100,103</sup> N-alkyl quaternary ammonium ligands,<sup>2,25,100</sup> and N-methylquinolinium substrates (e.g., 13).<sup>109</sup> The esteratic locus of the active site also interacts with hydrophobic substrates, such as 1-naphthyl acetate  $(15),^{2,109}$  indophenyl acetate  $(16),^{2,25,45}$  and tropolone acetate **(17).35** 



These substrate and ligand interactions are supported by structural studies of AChE that place hydrophobic residues at the active site. Shinitzky et al.<sup>113</sup> characterized the interaction of N-methylacridinium **(1)** and N-benzylpyridinium **(18)** with AChE's active site. N-Benzylpyridinium binding was accompanied by quenching of tryptophan fluorescence of the enzyme. Binding of N-methylacridinium to AChE causes quenching of the ligand's fluorescence and appearance of a new absorption at  $\sim$  470 nm. A similar Nmethylacridinium absorption is observed in the presence of  $N$ -acetyl-L-tryptophan. Shinitzky et al.<sup>113</sup> assign this new spectral feature to a charge-transfer complex between N-methylacridinium and a tryptophan residue in the AChE active site. The prominent charge-transfer band is consistent with face-to-face interaction, within  $\sim$ 5 Å, of the ligand with the active site tryptophan. Berman et al. $^{114}$  also suggested the formation of a charge-transfer complex with tryptophan to explain differences in the absorption spectra of covalent (NBD-amino)ethyl and (NBD-amino)pentyl methylphosphono-AChE complexes (NBD = 7-nitrobenz-2 oxa-1,3-diazole). The absorption maxima and bandwidths were  $475-480$  nm and  $3870$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for the aminoethyl complex and 485-490 nm and 2870 cm-', respectively, for the aminopentyl complex. These results indicate that the NBD probe, which extends  $\sim$ 7 A from the active site serine in the aminoethyl complex, makes a charge-transfer interaction (leading to band broadening and optical activity) with an active site tryptophan or tyrosine. The NBD probe of the ami-nopentyl complex extends - 12 **A** from the active site serine and enjoys no such interaction. The spectral characteristics of pyrenebutyl methylphosphono-AChE are also consistent with a hydrophobic active site that contains tryptophan.<sup>72</sup> In particular, the absorption spectrum of the pyrene moiety overlaps the emission of and quenches the fluorescence of enzyme tryptophans. The position of the long-wavelength absorption of the pyrene moiety in the covalent enzyme complex is 349 nm, which is red-shifted by 8 nm compared with pyrenebutanol in  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ . This is consistent with binding of the pyrene moiety to a site on the enzyme that contains aromatic residues.

Majumdar and Balasubramanian<sup>75</sup> used various chemical modifications to characterize the active sites

for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh, indophenyl acetate (16), and aryl amides. Types of residues essential for all three activities were lysine, tyrosine, tryptophan, and histidine. However, ACh and the competitive inhibitor 1,5-bis[4-(allyldimethylammonio)phenyl]pentan-3-one (19) afforded protection



of ACh hydrolysis activity against inactivating reagents that modify the four amino acids above, but protect aryl amidase activity against modification of histidine and tyrosine only. Hence, the active site regions for ACh and indophenyl acetate hydrolyses on the one hand and for aryl amidase activity on the other are different, yet overlap, with a histidine and a tyrosine residue in common.

The presence of tyrosine in the active site is supported by inhibition of AChE by tetranitromethane (TNM) and arsenite,<sup>115,116</sup> and perhaps by fluoride.<sup>117,118</sup> Arsenite is a quasi-irreversible inhibitor because, though inhibition reaches equilibrium with a dissociation constant of 15  $\mu$ M, breakdown of the enzyme-arsenite complex occurs slowly  $(k = 1-2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1})$ .<sup>116</sup> Binding of arsenite to the enzyme is second order, with  $k = 128$  M<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. Arsenite inhibits AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ATCh and indophenyl acetate (16) to the same extent and blocks carbamylation of the active site serine by the fluorescent ACh analogue N-methyl-7 **dimethylcarbamoxyquinolinium** iodide (M7C, **20).** 

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n 0 \\
 \text{Mn } \text{2N} \\
 \text{Mn } \text{2N} \\
 \text{2N} \\
 \text{2N}\n \end{array}
$$

Arsenite inhibition is completely blocked by m-(trimethylammonio)phenol and tensilon  $(11)$  and is partially blocked by tetramethylammonium. These ligands are thought to bind to the anionic locus of the active site. $2,3,25$  However, the quaternary pyridinium ligands



accelerate arsenite inhibition of AChE without affecting the dissociation constant of the enzyme-arsenite complex. TNM inhibits AChE by converting 12 of 21 tyrosine residues to 3-nitrotyrosines. Arsenite protects two tyrosines against TNM modification. Hence, Page and Wilson<sup>115</sup> ascribe arsenite inhibition to formation of an arsenite diester with two active site tyrosines.

# *I I I. Reaction Dynamics*

## **A. Introduction**

As much of the discussion to this point illustrates, AChE is a conformationally plastic enzyme. This conformational plasticity includes the active site, as the

**CHART VI. Comparative Activation Thermodynamics for Single and Virtual Transition States** 



wide-ranging substrate specificity of the enzyme demonstrates (cf. Table 11). Whether active site conformational dynamics play a significant role in AChE catalytic function is a matter than can only be settled by experimental characterization of the anatomy of AChE catalysis (i.e., reaction dynamics and thermodynamics, intrinsic structural features of chemical transition states). Rosenberry<sup>2,27</sup> earlier suggested that induced fit consequent to substrate binding is prominently rate limiting in the acylation stage of AChE catalysis. Quinn and Swanson<sup>18</sup> determined pL-rate profiles  $(L = H, D)$  and measured solvent isotope effects in mixed  $H_2O-D_2O$  buffers for  $k_E$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of o-nitrochloroacetanilide (ONCA). They interpreted the isotope effects in terms of a virtual transition state that is comprised of contributions from transition states of serial microscopic physical and chemical steps. Because the mechanistic models offered by Quinn and Swanson<sup>18</sup> and in consequent investigations<sup>19-21</sup> of AChE from my laboratory rely heavily on the measurement of solvent isotope effects and the virtual transition-state concept, the requisite background is first presented.

# **B. The Virtual Transition-State Concept**

## *1. Reaction Thermodynamics and Free Energy Diagrams*

When a chemical reaction is rate limited by two or more microscopic transition states, whether they be of serial or parallel steps, the properties of the observed (phenomenological) transition state are weighted averages of the intrinsic structural features of the microscopic transition states that contribute to rate determination. In this situation the observed transition state is called a virtual transition state.<sup>10-12</sup> The difference between a single rate-limiting and a virtual transition state in a linear three-step reaction sequence is illustrated by the free energy diagrams of Chart VI. Note that in the diagrams the  $\Delta G^*$  values refer to the free enzyme and free substrate reactant state. If the enzyme is saturated by substrate  $(k_{ES}$  measurements), one may also have a virtual reactant state comprised of all kinetically significant ES complexes. In eq 6 of Chart VI  $k_2' = k_1 k_2 / k_{-1}$  and  $k_3' = k_1 k_2 k_3 / k_{-1} k_{-2}$  are the rate con-

stants for conversion of  $E + S$  to the  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  transition states, respectively. As the diagram shows, when the  $k_3$  transition-state free energy is high  $\Delta G_{\text{E}}{}^*$  (and hence  $k_{\rm E}$ ) depends only on  $\Delta G_{3\rm E}$ <sup>\*</sup>. Transition-state structural features that are probed by isotope effects (for example) are in this case the intrinsic features of the  $k_3$  transition state. When the observed transition state is a virtual transition state,  $\Delta G_{\text{E}}^*$  contains both  $\Delta G_{2\text{E}}^*$  and  $\Delta G_{3\text{E}}^*$ , and the relative free energies of the  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  transition states determine their fractional contributions to the observed properties of the virtual transition state. This is illustrated by the transformations of eq **6** and 8 to eq 9 and 10. Eq 9 shows that  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  make frac-

$$
k_{\rm E}/k_2' + k_{\rm E}/k_3' = f_2 + f_3 = 1 \tag{9}
$$

$$
e^{(\Delta G_{2E}^{\ast} - \Delta G_{E}^{\ast})/RT} + e^{(\Delta G_{3E}^{\ast} - \Delta G_{E}^{\ast})/RT} = 1 \qquad (10)
$$

tional contributions  $f_2$  and  $f_3$ , respectively, to rate determination. The fractional contributions (weighting factors) arise thermodynamically from  $\Delta G_{2E}^*$  and  $\Delta G_{3E}^*$ (eq 10). Since these quantities refer to the same initial state  $(E + S)$ , the weighting factors are determined solely by the free energies of the  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  transition states.<br>Consider as an example a reaction for which  $\Delta G_{2E}^*$ 

Consider as an example a reaction for which  $\Delta G_{2E}^*$ <br>=  $\Delta G_{3E}^*$ , the  $k_3$  transition state is subject to a solvent isotope effect  $(\mathring{D}_2 \circ k_3 = 4)$ , and the  $k_2$  transition state is isotopically insensitive  $\binom{D_2O_4}{2} = 1$ . In this case  $f_2 = f_3$  $= 0.5$  and the observed isotope effect is as shown in eq. 11. Therefore, the observed transition state appears to

$$
D_2 O_{k_E} = f_2 D_2 O_{k_2} + f_3 D_2 O_{k_3} = 2.5 \tag{11}
$$

be rate limited by a proton transfer that gives an isotope effect of 2.5, but it is actually a virtual transition state in which an intrinsic isotope effect of 4 from the  $k_3$ transition state is partially masked by equal rate determination by the  $k_2$  transition state.

The reaction dynamics just described can be elaborated (eq 12 and 13) for reactions that involve *m* ratedetermining transition-state contributors to the virtual transition state. Equation 13 is the general case of

$$
f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_m = 1 \tag{12}
$$

$$
X_{k_{\rm E}} = f_1 X_{k_1} + f_2 X_{k_2} + \dots + f_m X_{k_m} \tag{13}
$$

which eq 11 is a particular example, and it shows that a measured effect  $X$  on  $k_E$  (usually some isotope effect) arises from the successive intrinsic effects  $(X_k)$  on each of the transition states that contribute  $f_i$  to the virtual transition state. In any reaction, all microscopic transition states contribute to the virtual transition state, but in practice, only those transition states whose  $\Delta G^*$ values are within  $\sim$  2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> of the highest microscopic  $\Delta G^*$  make important contributions.

# *2. Kinetic Equations*

Scheme I1 presented an AChE mechanism that involved a kinetically significant induced-fit step  $(k_2)$ . A more general form of this mechanism as a function of pH is given in Scheme VI. Definitions of terms in Scheme VI were presented in Scheme 11. Scheme VI assumes that a single  $pK_a$  describes the acid-base behavior of all forms of the enzyme. Furthermore, the  $pK_a$ on which activity depends is assumed to be  $\sim 6.3$ , which has long been assigned to the active site histidine. $2.25$ These assumptions are justified for a number of reasons:

#### **SCHEME VI**

$$
E + S \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{k_1} ES_1 \xrightarrow[k_{-2}]{k_2} ES_2 \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{k_3} EA \xrightarrow[k_{2}]{k_4} E + P_2
$$
  
\n
$$
H^+ \Big| K_1 \qquad H^+ \Big| K_2 \qquad H^+ \Big| K_1 \Big| K_2 \Big| H^+ \Big| K_1 \Big| K_2 \Big|
$$
  
\n
$$
EH^+ + S \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{k_1} ES_1 H^+ \xrightarrow[k_{-2}]{k_2} ES_2 H^+ \qquad EAH^+
$$

(1)  $k_{ES}$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh and phenyl acetate (both of which involve predominantly rate-determining deacetylation<sup>26,27</sup>) depends on the basic form of an active site amino acid with  $pK_a = 6.5^{27}$ (2)  $k_{ES}$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of o-nitrophenyl acetate depends on a  $pK_a = 6.3^{19}$  This reaction is probably partially rate limited by both acylation  $(k_3)$ and deacylation  $(k_4)$ . (3) The acylation rate constant and deacylation  $(k_4)$ . (3) The acylation rate constant  $k_{\rm E}$  depends on a p $K_{\rm a} \sim 6.3$  for cationic substrates of AChE.<sup>2,27,76</sup> Though this effect may arise from the pH dependence of the  $k_3$  component of  $k_{\rm E}$ , it is also likely that cationic substrates do not bind appreciably to the protonated form of the free enzyme  $(\dot{E}H^+)$ , since cat-<br>ionic inhibitor binding decreases with a p $K_a \sim 6.3$ .<sup>2,76,77</sup> (4) Though  $k_{\rm E}$  for neutral substrates frequently depends on  $pK_a$  values of 5.2–5.8,<sup>2,18–20,27</sup> for poorly reactive substrates the  $pK_a$  approaches  $6.3.^{27}$  Since the reactant state for  $k_{\text{E}}$  measurements is E + S, p $K_{\text{a}}$  values determined from pH-KE profiles *must* be those of the free enzyme. As shall be seen later, the low  $pK_a$  values for reactive neutral substrates of AChE can be rationalized in terms of prominent rate determination by a microscopic component of  $k_{\rm E}$  that is pH insensitive.<sup>2,18-20,27</sup> **(5)** Krupka interpreted the pH dependence of betaine binding in terms of the conversion of a cationic to a neutral amino acid with a  $pK_a = 6.3^{76}$  This behavior is consistent with titration of an imidazolium residue but not of a neutral carboxyl. **(6)** Reagents that acylate the imidazole side chain of histidine, such as ethoxyformic anhydride<sup>74</sup> and diethylpyrocarbonate,<sup>75</sup> inhibit AChE. Inhibition can be reversed with hydroxylamine and blocked by substrate and competitive inhibitors.

A steady-state derivation of the phenomenological kinetic parameters for Scheme VI gives eq **14** and **15.** 

$$
k_{\rm E} = \frac{k_3' K_{\rm a}}{[{\rm H}^+] + K_{\rm a}(1+C)}\tag{14}
$$

$$
k_{\rm ES} = k_2 k_3 k_4 / [k_4 [k_{-2}(1 + [H^+] / K_a) + k_3] +
$$
  

$$
k_2 (k_3 + k_4)(1 + [H^+] / K_a)]
$$
 (15)

Equation **15** reduces to eq 1 and eq **14** to eq **3** (vida supra) when  $[H^+] \ll K_a$ . The commitment to chemical catalysis, C, was also defined earlier. *C* has two limiting forms. For highly reactive substrates,  $k_E$  is likely prominently rate limited by binding (i.e.,  $k_{-1} \ll k_2$ ) and  $\hat{C} = k_3/k_{-1}\hat{K}_2$ , where  $K_2 = k_{-2}/k_2$ . In this case,  $\hat{C}$  measures the tendency of  $\mathrm{ES}_2$  to proceed to EA, vs. reversion to  $E + S$  via the kinetically significant  $k_{-1}$  transition state. For less reactive substrates,  $C = k_3/k_{-2}$  and measures a similar partitioning of  $ES_2$ , albeit with reversion to  $E + S$  via the kinetically significant  $k_{-2}$ transition state. Hence, **C** is a measure of the reaction dynamics and thermodynamics of the acylation stage of AChE catalysis. How *C* is determined is discussed later. Equation **15** reduces to eq **16** when the rate-determining step at substrate saturation is acylation (i.e., when  $k_4 \gg k_3$  *and*  $k_2$ . Equations 14-16 will prove

$$
k_{\rm ES} = \frac{k_2 k_3}{(k_{-2} + k_2)(1 + [\rm H^+]/K_a) + k_3}
$$
 (16)

useful in the following sections of this review in accounting for solvent isotope effects and pH-rate profiles for AChE-catalyzed reactions of neutral substrates.

#### *3. Kinetic Solvent Isotope Effects: Predictions*

A prominent methodology for characterizing transition-state structures for hydrolytic enzymes is the measurement of solvent isotope effects.<sup>119-121</sup> Solvent isotope effects on the phenomenological kinetic parameters are defined in eq **17.** Rate constants with the  $D_2O_{k_E} = k_E$ <sup>H<sub>2</sub>O</sup>/ $k_E$ <sup>D<sub>2</sub>O</sub>  $D_2O_{k_{ES}} = k_{ES}$ <sup>H<sub>2</sub>O</sup>/ $k_{ES}$ <sup>D<sub>2</sub>O</sup> (17)</sup>

superscripts following correspond to measurements in the respective isotopic solvents. Prototropic catalysis, such as general acid-base catalysis or H bonding, is a common transition-state structural feature of hydrolytic reactions. Many of the functionalities of enzymes that are involved in prototropic catalysis (e.g., ROH, RCO<sub>2</sub>H, RSH, RR'NH) exchange protons very rapidly with solvent,<sup>119-121</sup> so that reactions in  $D_2O$  proceed with deuteriotropic catalysis. For organic reactions,  $D_2O_k$ values of **1.5-4** are typical and are indicative of ratedetermining transition states that are stabilized by proton transfers.

The proton inventory technique produces additional resolution of transition-state structural features from solvent isotope effect measurements. Some salient features of this technique are described herein. For broader coverage, the reader is referred to several reviews that have appeared in recent years.<sup>119-121</sup> In a proton inventory experiment rates or rate constants are measured in a series of mixtures of equivalent  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  and D<sub>2</sub>O buffers. Equivalent buffers are those for which concentrations of buffer components, electrolytes, etc. are the same. The dependence of a rate constant *k,* on the atom fraction  $n$  of deuterium in mixed isotopic buffers is given by the Gross-Butler equation (eq **18).** 

$$
k_n = k_0 \frac{\prod_{j} (1 - n + n\phi_j^{\mathrm{T}})}{\prod_{j} (1 - n + n\phi_i^{\mathrm{R}})}
$$
(18)

The numerator of eq 18 contains a product of terms for each transition-state proton that makes a contribution  $1/\phi_j^T$  to the observed solvent isotope effect;  $\phi^T$  is the transition-state fractionation factor. The denominator contains a similar product of terms for readily exchangeable protons of the reactant state. The rate constant  $k_0$  is that in H<sub>2</sub>O (n = 0). Though enzyme reactions involve a large number of protons, several features simplify the picture considerably: **(1)** Only those protons for which  $\phi \neq 1$  contribute to the solvent isotope effect. (2) Only those protons whose fractionation factors change on activation (i.e.,  $\phi_i^R \neq \phi_j^T$ ; *i* = *j)* contribute to the solvent isotope effect. In addition, processes that may be subject to solvent isotope effects that arise from cumulative small isotope effects from numerous protons (e.g., enzyme conformational change, desolvation that accompanies substrate binding) can be readily modeled, as discussed below.

There are several special cases of eq 18 that deserve comment. For many amino acid functionalities involved in prototropic catalysis, such as ROH,  $RCO<sub>2</sub>H$ ,



**Figure 1. A.** Computer-simulated proton inventories for reactions that are subject to  $D_2O_{k_E} = 2$  that arises from one-proton catalysis (top line), two-proton catalysis (middle line), **or** manyproton catalysis (bottom line). B. Computer-simulated proton inventories for reactions that are rate limited by virtual transition states that are comprised of serial microscopic transition states for  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  that make fractional contributions to rate determination of  $\tilde{f}_2 = 0.67$  and  $f_3 = 0.33$ , respectively. Top line:  $D_2O_{k_2} = 1$ ,  $D_2O_{k_3} = 2.2$ . Bottom line:  $D_2O_{k_2} = 1.2$ ,  $D_2O_{k_3} = 1.8$ .

and RR'NH,  $\phi^R \approx 1$ ; an exception is RSH, for which  $\phi^R = 0.4 - 0.6$ .<sup>119-123</sup> However, cysteine is not involved in AChE catalysis, since thiol-modifying reagents do not affect activity.<sup>2,116</sup> E. electricus AChE contains no free sulfhydryl groups,2 while the *T. californica* AChE contains only one, that of  $\text{Cys}^{231.65}$  Therefore, for AChE eq 18 reduces to a polynomial function of *n* as shown in eq 19. If a single proton contributes to the solvent

$$
k_a = k_0 \prod_j (1 - n + n \phi_j)^T
$$
 (19)

isotope effect, a plot of  $k_n$  vs. *n* will be best described by a straight line. If two or more protons contribute to the solvent isotope effect, the dependence of *k,* on *n* is described by a quadratic or higher order polynomial and will be curvilinear and downward bowing. The predicted proton inventory when a large number of fractionating protons contribute to the solvent isotope effect is particularly interesting. This might be the situation for a kinetically significant enzyme isomerization or for desolvation of substrate and enzyme active site on substrate binding. Consider a case wherein *D2°k*  = 2 arises from a large number *u* of proton sites with an average  $\phi^T$  slightly < 1:

$$
k_n = k_0 [1 - n(1 - \phi^{\mathrm{T}})]^v
$$
 (20)

After rearranging and taking the logarithm transform of eq 20 one gets

or eq 20 one gets  
\n
$$
\ln (k_n/k_0) = v \ln [1 - n(1 - \phi^T)] \sim v n(1 - \phi^T) \qquad (21)
$$

Since  $1 - \phi^T$  is almost 0,  $\ln [1 - n(1 - \phi^T)] \sim n(1 - \phi^T)$ , which accounts for the final form of the right hand side of eq 21. Similarly, when  $n = 1$ ,  $\ln (k_1/k_0) = v(1 - \phi^T)$ . Therefore:

$$
\ln (k_n / k_0) = n \ln (k_1 / k_0) \tag{22}
$$

Taking antilogs and rearranging gives eq 23. Equation

$$
k_n = k_0 Z^{-n}
$$
, where  $Z = k_0/k_1$  (23)

23 predicts an exponential dependence of  $k_n$  on  $n$ . Computer-generated plots for a reaction that is subject to  $D_2O_k$  that arises from one- or two-proton catalysis (eq. 19) or many-proton catalysis (eq 23) are shown in Figure **1A.** As expected, the curvature of the proton inventory increases **as** the number of fractionating transition-state protons increases. With rate constants of  $1-2\%$  precision, one can generally distinguish among one-, two-, and many-proton catalysis.<sup>119</sup>

A mechanistic model that is particularly germaine to AChE catalysis is one in which two microscopic steps contribute to rate determination (i.e., the virtual transition-state model). Consider a case in which  $k_E$  (acylation) is rate limited by  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  of Scheme II and VI. In this case, at pH  $\gg p\overline{K}_a$  eq 14 reduces to eq 6 (cf. Chart VI). For the moment, assume that only  $k_3$  is subject to a solvent isotope effect  $(^{D_2O}k_3)$ , which arises from a transition state that is stabilized by simple general acid-base (one-proton) catalysis. The requisite Gross-Butler equation that comes from eq 6 for this model is

$$
1/k_{\text{E},n} = 1/k_2' + 1/k_3'(1 - n + n\phi_3^{T})
$$
 (24)

The rate constants  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  were defined in Chart VI and the corresponding text;  $\phi_3^T$  (=  $1/\overline{D_2O_{k_3}}$ ) is the fractionation factor of the general acid-base proton bridge that stabilizes the  $k_3$  transition state. This equation is converted to a more useful form by multiplying and dividing the right hand side by  $k_E$ :

$$
k_{\mathbf{E},n}^{-1} = k_{\mathbf{E}}^{-1} \left( f_2 + \frac{f_3}{1 - n + n \phi_3^{\mathrm{T}}} \right) \tag{25}
$$

Equation 25 predicts a rational functional dependence of  $k_{E,n}$  on *n*;  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are the respective fractional rate determinations of the  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  transition states:

$$
f_2 = \frac{k_{\rm E}}{k_2'} = \frac{k_3'}{k_2' + k_3'} \qquad f_3 = \frac{k_{\rm E}}{k_3'} = \frac{k_2'}{k_2' + k_3'} \tag{26}
$$

Figure 1B shows a computer-simulated proton inventory, plotted as partial solvent isotope effects  $k_{\text{E},n}/k_{\text{E},1}$ , generated from eq 25 for a reaction that has an observed isotope effect  $p_2O_{\mathcal{R}_E} = 1.4$  that arises from a 33% ratedetermining  $k_3$  transition state for which  $D_2O_{k_3} = 2.2$ . A similar proton inventory simulation has been described by Kovach et al. $22$  As the plot illustrates, the virtual transition-state model produces a proton inventory plot that is strongly curvilinear and upward bowing. If the  $k_2$  transition state produces even a modest isotope effect (e.g.,  $D_2O_{k_2} = 1.2$ ), the curvature of the proton inventory is greatly reduced (cf. Figure 1B).

The relationship of the observed and intrinsic isotope effects can be generated from eq 25 with  $n = 1$  as shown in eq 27. Equation 27 is a particular case of eq 11 and

$$
D_2 O_{k_E} = f_2 + f_3 D_2 O_{k_3}
$$
 (27)

13 that were presented earlier. If one inserts the rate constant ratios of eq 26 for the *f* values, eq 28 results.

$$
D_2O_{k_E} = \frac{D_2O_{k_3} + C}{1 + C}, \text{ where } C = k_3/k_{-2} \qquad (28)
$$

This equation is that which comes from the oft-used approach to enzyme kinetics of the Wisconsin enzymologists (et al.), as originally developed by Nor-Therefore, though the terminology and methods of the virtual transition-state and Northrop approaches appear different, the two ways of analyzing enzymic isotopic effects are equivalent. The relation-





EDUCE THE REACTER FOR A SECONDARY AND THE SECONDARY STATE OF A SECONDARY ISOTOPE EFFECTS for All reactions were conducted at 25 °C save those for PCA and PMPCA, which were conducted at 20 °C. <sup>5</sup> Secondary isotope effects ACh, ONPA, PNPA, and methyl acetate are β-D effects; those for PMPF and ONFA are α-D effects. 'Data are from ref 27. 'Data are from<br>ref 19. 'Data are from ref 22. The first row of isotope effects is for catalysis by E. el reactions catalyzed by human erythrocyte AChE. *f* ONFA, o-nitroformanilide; data are from ref 20. <sup>8</sup>The first row of data for PNPA is from ref 43;  $k_{\rm E}$  was calculated from specified mass of partially pure enzyme and should therefore be taken as a lower limit. The second row of data is from ref 27.  ${}^h\beta$ -D effects for ACh, PNPA, and methyl acetate are from ref 43.

ship of the commitment of  $ES<sub>2</sub>$  to chemical catalysis and the weighting factors of the *k<sub>2</sub>* and *k<sub>3</sub>* transition states is that of eq 29.<br>  $f_2 = \frac{C}{C+1}$   $f_3 = \frac{1}{C+1}$  (29) states is that of eq **29.** 

$$
f_2 = \frac{C}{C+1} \qquad f_3 = \frac{1}{C+1} \tag{29}
$$

The virtual transition-state model provides an interpretive framework for illuminating transition-state structural features and reaction dynamics for the acylation stage of AChE catalysis. In addition, various AChE reactions give proton inventories that are consistent with simple general acid-base (one-proton) catalysis.<sup>19,21,22</sup> The use of the solvent isotope effect and proton inventory probes to characterize several AChEcatalyzed reactions is presented later.

#### *4. pH-Rate Profiles*

The virtual transition-state description of AChE reaction dynamics has important implications for the  $pH-k<sub>E</sub>$  behavior of the enzyme if the isotopically insensitive  $k_2$  transition state is also pH insensitive, as the Scheme VI mechanism shows. $2,18,20,27$  In this situation, the dependence of  $k_{\text{E}}$  on pH is given by eq 14, from which one can derive the relationship<sup>2,18,20</sup> shown in eq 30 of the observed  $pK_a$  for  $k_E$  and the intrinsic  $pK_a$  for the  $k_3$  step. Hence, as  $C = k_3/k_{-2}$  gets larger and the  $pK<sub>s</sub>(observed) = pK<sub>s</sub>(intrinsic) - log (1 + C)$  (30)

*k2* transition state is correspondingly increasingly rate determining, the observed  $pK_a$  increasingly underestimates the intrinsic p $K_a$ . Since  $C = f_2/f_3$  (cf. eq 29) and the weighting factors can be determined from proton inventory experiments (cf. eq 25), the intrinsic  $pK_a$  can be calculated from eq **30.** 

# **C. Virtual Transition States for Anilide Hydrolyses**

# 1. Probes of Virtual Transition States for  $k_F$

**a. Solvent Isotope Effects.** As discussed earlier,  $k_{\rm E}$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh<sup>2,30</sup> (and of **CHART VII. Aryl Ester and Anilide Substrates of AChE**   $(L = H, D)$ 

**Esters** 

$$
x\leftarrow \bigcirc \downarrow o\cdot c\cdot R
$$

**XI MeO, Y** = **H,** *R=* **L X** = **H,** *Y* = **Nq,** *R* = **CL, Xs Y** *a* H, *R= CH,*  **X** = **MeO, Y** = **H,** *R* = **CH&l X** = **Y** = **H,** *R* \* **CH2CI** 

**p-methoxyphenyl formote (PMPF) o-nitrophony1 acetate (ONPA)**  phenyl acetate (PA) **pmethoxyphenyl chloroocetote (PMPCA) phenyl chloroocetate (PCA)** 

*An* il **ides** 





 $ATCh<sup>31,33</sup>$ ) is diffusion controlled. Though this attests to the catalytic power of the enzyme, diffusion control masks all transition states in the acylation stage of catalysis save that of the chemically uninformative  $k_1$  step. Fortunately, the wide AChE substrate specificity allows one to circumvent this problem by using a number of structurally related substrates that span a wide range of reactivity. One might expect that as  $k_{\rm E}$  decreases in such a series of substrates the chemical transition state *k3* will become increasingly rate determining. Accordingly, co-workers in my laboratory have synthesized the substrates shown in Chart VII. The kinetic parameters for these substrates and for phenyl acetate (PA) are given in Table **V,** along with isotope effects that were measured in other laboratories.

Two features of the data in Table **V** are noteworthy: (1) The substrates span a range of  $k_{\rm E}$  of five orders of magnitude. Since  $k_E$  is  $> 10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for the more re-

**TABLE VI. Calculated Intrinsic Parameters for AChE-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Anilides and Aryl Esters** 

substrate	$D_2O_{\mathcal{R}_2}$	12		С	observed $pK$ .	intrinsic $pK$ .	
ONCA <sup>a</sup>	$2.3~(\pm 0.2)$ 1.94 $(\pm 0.04)^b$	$0.67$ ( $\pm 0.06$ )	$0.33~(\pm 0.06)$	$2.0 \ (\pm 0.4)$	5.80 $(\pm 0.03)$	6.28 $(\pm 0.09)$	
$ONA^a$	$2.5 (\pm 0.2)$ $1.92 ~(\pm 0.02)^b$	$0.58 \ (\pm 0.05)$	$0.42~(\pm 0.05)$	1.4 $(\pm 0.2)$	5.63 $(\pm 0.03)$	6.00 $(\pm 0.09)$	
$ONFA^a$ PNPA <sup>c</sup>	2.3 $(\pm 0.3)$ $2.39 \ (\pm 0.08)$	$0.67 (\pm 0.06)$ $0.61 (\pm 0.02)$	$0.33~(\pm 0.06)$ $0.39~(\pm 0.02)$	$2.0 \ (\pm 0.4)$ $1.6 (\pm 0.1)$	5.62 $(\pm 0.04)$	6.1 $(\pm 0.1)$	
PMPF <sup>a</sup>	$\sim$ 2	$\sim 0.9$	$\sim 0.09$	$\sim$ 10	$5.6 (\pm 0.1)$	$~1$ –6.6	



**Figure 2.** Proton inventory for  $k<sub>E</sub>$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA. Reproduced with permission from ref 18. Copyright 1984, American Chemical Society.

active ester substrates, it is conceivable that binding  $(k_1)$ is at least partially rate determining. However,  $k_{\rm E}$  is much smaller for anilides that are nearly isosteric with aryl esters (compare ONA and ONPA), so that microscopic events after binding of these substrates must be rate determining. (2) As  $k_{\rm E}$  decreases,  $D_2O_k$ <sub>E</sub> values tend to increase. Except for PA, the reactive ester substrates (ACh through PMPCA) have an average  $D_2O_{k_E}$  of 1.06 **f** 0.09. Less reactive substrates (anilides, PNPA, methyl acetate) give moderate normal  $D_2O_{k_{\rm E}}$  values. Therefore, decreasing substrate reactivity is accompanied by partial exposure to rate determination of the chemical  $k_3$  transition state. This conclusion is supported by the trend in substrate secondary isotope effects  $D_{k_{\rm E}}$  (cf. Table V), which is consistent with increasing expression of nucleophilic enzyme-substrate interaction in the virtual transition state with decreasing reactivity.

The considerations raised in the preceding paragraph indicate that for anilide substrates the  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  transition states both contribute to rate determination for  $k_{\text{E}}$ . This conclusion is supported by nonlinear, upward-bulging proton inventories for ONCA,<sup>18</sup> ONA, and ONFA;20 the ONCA proton inventory is shown in Figure 2. Anilide proton inventories were fit by nonlinear least squares to eq  $25,^{124}$  with  $k_{\rm E}, f_3$  and  ${\phi_3}^{\rm T}$  as adjustable parameters. Results of these analyses are contained in Table VI, and show that the small  $D_2O_{k_E}$  values of Table V arise from intrinsic isotope effects of  $\sim$ 2 for *k3* transition states that are **30-45%** rate determining. The  $D_2O_{k_3}$  values are similar to solvent isotope effects et al.<sup>43</sup> reported a nonlinear, upward-bulging proton inventory for  $k_E$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of  $p$ nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA), which they interpreted in terms of a transition-state contribution to the solvent isotope effect of 2.2 (i.e.,  $\phi^T = 0.45$ ) that is offset by an for  $k_{ES}$  of AChE reactions (cf. Table V).<sup>19,21,22,27</sup>  $\,$  Hogg



**Figure 3.** pL-Rate profiles  $(L = H, D)$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONFA (A) and ONA (B). Reproduced with permission from ref **20.** Copyright 1987, American Chemical Society. Initial velocities  $(V_i)$  were measured at  $[S]_0 \ll K_m$ . Open circles are  $V_i$ 's measured in  $H_2O$  buffers, and closed circles are  $V_i$ 's measured in D<sub>2</sub>O buffers.

inverse isotope effect of 0.7 from a reactant-state proton (i.e.,  $\phi^R = 0.\overline{7}$ ). However, their proton inventory is also accommodated by the virtual transition-state model discussed herein. As the results in Table VI for leastsquares analysis of their proton inventory show, PNPA is rate limited by a virtual transition state wherein the intrinsic isotope effect and weighting factors for  $k_2$  and *k3* are similar to those for the anilide substrates. It is not surprising that the  $D_2O_{k_3}$  for PNPA is partially expressed in  $D_2O_{k_E}$ , since the reactivity of this ester is about the same as that of ONCA (cf. Table V).

The pL- $k_{\rm E}$  profiles (L = H, D) for ONFA and ONA in Figure **3** show that activity depends on the basic form of a single titrable amino acid side chain of the free enzyme, as described by eq 31. The observed  $pK_a$ 

$$
k_{\rm E, pL} = \frac{k_{\rm E} K_{\rm a}}{|\rm L^+| + K_{\rm a}} \tag{31}
$$

values given in Table VI were calculated by nonlin-



Figure **4.** Eyring plots for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA. Reproduced with permission from ref 21. Copyright 1987, American Chemical Society. The upper plot was constructed from first-order rate constants that were determined at  $[ONCA]_0 =$  $K_{\rm m}/20$  in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer that contained 0.1 N NaC1. The lower plot was constructed from initial velocities that were measured at  $[ONCA]_0 \ll K_m$  in the same buffer that also contained 1% DMSO, v/v.

ear-least-squares fits of the respective  $pH-k<sub>E</sub>$  profiles to eq 31. Like the reactive esters studied by Rosenberry, $^{27}$  the anilides have p $K_{\rm a}$  values that are well below the intrinsic p $K_a = 6.3^{2.25,76,77}$  of the active site histidine. Low  $pK_a$  values have also been measured for inhibition of AChE by neutral carbamylating reagents.<sup>78</sup> These inhibitions are analogous to the acylation stage of catalysis. The intrinsic  $pK_a$  for  $k_E$  of each anilide was calculated by using eq 30 and the requisite values of observed  $pK_a$  and C in Table VI. These intrinsic  $pK_a$ values are also given in the table and fall in the range 6.0-6.3, which is in good agreement with the intrinsic  $pK<sub>a</sub>$  of the AChE active site.

The Eyring plots<sup>125</sup> for  $k_E$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolyses of PMPF, ONCA, ONA, and ONFA are nonlinear and bulge upward (Figure 4). $^{20}$  This type of dependence is probably not a temperature effect on the stability of AChE, since the Eyring plot for  $k_{ES}$  of PMPF hydrolysis is linear. A reasonable explanation for the temperature dependence of  $k<sub>E</sub>$  for anilides can be constructed from eq 6 of Chart VI. Equation 32 is derived from the form of eq 6 for the virtual transition state by using the Eyring equation,<sup>125</sup>  $k = (k_B T)^{-1}$  $(h)e^{-\Delta G^*/RT}$ . In this equation  $A = (h/k_B)e^{-\Delta S_{2E^*}/R}$  and  $B$ 

$$
-\ln (k_{\mathrm{E}}/T) = \ln (A e^{\Delta H_{2\mathrm{E}}^* / RT} + B e^{\Delta H_{3\mathrm{E}}^* / RT}) \quad (32)
$$

has the same form for  $\Delta S_{3E}^*$ . The curvilinear Eyring plot of Figure 4 was fit to eq 32.

The model that was used to generate the curvilinear fits for anilide proton inventories (cf. eq 25) assumes that the  $k_3$  transition state is stabilized by a singleproton transfer. The linear proton inventories for  $k_{ES}$ of ester hydrolyses<sup>19,22</sup> support this assumption (vida infra). Furthermore, AChE-catalyzed hydrolyses of ONCA and ONA are inhibited by DMSO.<sup>21</sup> Inhibition



**Figure 5.** Effect of DMSO on initial velocities  $(V_i)$  and solvent isotope effects  $(\overline{D_2O}V_i)$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA. Reproduced with permission from ref 21. Copyright 1987 American Chemical Society. All initial velocity measurements were done at  $[ONCA]_0 \ll K_m$ .

of ONCA hydrolysis is competitive in form. However, DMSO has a more significant effect than simply competing with substrate for binding to the free enzyme. As Figure 5 shows, increasing DMSO inhibition of ONCA hydrolysis is accompanied by increasing expression of  $D_2O_{k_3}$ . These observations indicate that DMSO effects a change in rate-determining step that exposes the  $k_3$  transition state. The data of Figure 5 for DMSO inhibition were fit to eq 33 by nonlinear least squares. For the fit in Figure 5,  $K_i = 0.38 \pm 0.08\%$ 

$$
V_{\rm i} = V_{\rm i}^0 / (1 + [I] / K_{\rm i}) \tag{33}
$$

 $v/v$ . This value was used as a constrained parameter, and the solvent isotope effects of Figure 5 were fit to eq 34. This equation comes from eq **28** and ascribes

$$
{}^{D_2O}k_E = \frac{{}^{D_2O}k_3 + C/(1 + [I]/K_i)}{1 + C/(1 + [I]/K_i)}
$$
(34)

the effect of DMSO on  $D_2O_{k_E}$  to progressive decrease of the commitment to catalysis **as** [DMSO] increases. The fit of the solvent isotope effects in Figure 5 gives  $D_2O_{k_3}$  $= 1.95 \pm 0.05$  and  $C = 1.1 \pm 0.3$ . Though somewhat different than the values for ONCA in Table VI, these results yet support the assignment of a virtual transition state for  $k_E$ . Moreover, one predicts from eq 34 that at high [DMSO]  $D_2O_{k_E} = D_2O_{k_3}$ ; i.e., the  $k_3$  transition state is solely rate determining and the intrinsic isotope effect is unmasked. If the  $k_3$  transition state is solely rate limiting, Eyring plots and proton inventories should be linear. As Figures 4 and 6 show, this is precisely what happens for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA and ONA. The linear proton inventories of Figure 6 provide additional support for the assumption inherent in eq  $25$  that AChE stabilizes the  $k_3$  transition state by one-proton catalysis. The  $D_2O_{R_3}$  values for DMSO-inhibited AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA and ONA are included in Table VI.

**b.** Substrate Secondary Isotope Effects. The substrate secondary isotope effects of Table V also indicate that the chemical transition state  $k_3$  is increasingly rate determining as  $k<sub>E</sub>$  decreases. Consider the  $\alpha$ -deuterium secondary isotope effect for ONFA,  $R_E =$  $0.88 \pm 0.02$ . The expected effect for equilibrium for-



Figure **6.** Proton inventories for DMSO-inhibited AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA (A) and ONA (B). Reproduced with permission from ref 21. Copyright 1987 American Chemical Society.

mation of a tetrahedral intermediate in the acylation stage of catalysis is  $D_k \sim 0.7126-128$  and is thought to arise primarily from conversion of the low frequency out-of-plane bending mode of the formyl function ( $\sim$ 800 cm<sup>-1</sup>) to a higher frequency bending mode ( $\sim$  1350  $cm^{-1}$ ) in the tetrahedral intermediate.<sup>129</sup> In addition, the  $\alpha$ -D effect depends nearly linearly on the degree of conversion in the transition state to sp3 hybridization of the sp<sup>2</sup>-hybridized carbonyl carbon of substrate.<sup>128</sup> If the  $\alpha$ -D effect dependent step for  $k_E$  of ONFA hydrolysis is the  $k_3$  step, the intrinsic isotope effect can be calculated from eq 35. The calculated intrinsic

$$
D_{k_{\rm E}} = \frac{D_{k_3} + C}{1 + C}
$$
 (35)

effect is  $Dk_3 = 0.64 \pm 0.10$ , which is consistent with a *k3* transition state that closely resembles the tetrahedral intermediate. The  $\beta$ -D secondary isotope effect  $D_{k_E}$  =  $0.982 \pm 0.005$  for ONA hydrolysis is also consistent with nucleophilic enzyme-substrate interaction. The expected effect for equilibrium conversion of the substrate to a tetrahedral intermediate is inverse by  $4.6 \pm 0.6\%$ per  $D^{130}$  Hence, the effect for ONA of  $0.6 \pm 0.2\%$  per D is consistent with a modest degree of nucleophilic enzyme-substrate interaction in the virtual transition state. However, the ONA secondary isotope effect and the other  $\beta$ -D effects in Table V are complicated by the fact that partition coefficients of carbonyl compounds for phase transfer from  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  to hydrophobic organic solvents are subject to normal (i.e.,  $>1$ )  $\beta$ -D effects of 1-2% per  $D^{131}$ . This kind of phase-transfer  $\beta$ -D effect may operate for  $k_E$  of AChE-catalyzed reactions, especially since the active site is hydrophobic (vida supra: 11. AChE Structure).

There are four alternate models that accommodate the nonlinear and upward-bulging proton inventories for anilides and PNPA. The first model is one in which a normal isotope effect contribution from a transitionstate proton bridge is partially offset by a reactant-state proton that has  $\phi^R < 1$ . This is the explanation offered by Hogg et **al.43** for the bowing-upward proton inventory for  $k_E$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of PNPA. The Gross-Butler equation for this model is given in eq 36.

$$
k_{\text{E},n} = k_{\text{E}} \frac{1 - n + n\phi^{\text{T}}}{1 - n + n\phi^{\text{R}}}
$$
 (36)

A fit that is indistinguishable from that of Figure 2 for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA can be generated from eq 36 with  $\phi^T = 0.44$  and  $\phi^R = 0.62$ . The weakness of this model is the isotopic insensitivity of  $k<sub>E</sub>$  for esters that span several orders of  $k_E$  reactivity (compare ACh, ONPA, PMPF, PCA, and PMPCA in Table V), which requires a fortuitous cancellation of  $\phi^T$  and  $\phi^R$ . Unlike the virtual transition-state model, the model embodied in eq 36 does not accommodate the  $pH-k_E$  behavior and nonlinear Eyring plots for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of neutral substrates. In addition, there are no prototropic amino acid side chains in *E.* electricus AChE that are expected to have a  $\phi^R = 0.62$ . Of the common organic functionalities, only RSH has  $\phi^R$  < 1,<sup>119-123</sup> but the enzyme is devoid of cysteine.<sup>2</sup> Only the short, strong H bonds described by Kreevoy et al.,  $^{132}$  if present in AChE, are capable of generating the  $\phi^R$  required by this model.

**A** second model that generates upward-bowing proton inventories is one in which  $k_3$  is solely rate determining, but in which the substrate binding component  $k_1/k_{-1}$ of  $k<sub>E</sub>$  is subject to an inverse solvent isotope effect that arises from many-proton fractionation (i.e., a  $Z$  term; vida supra, eq 23). Binding solvent isotope effects, which likely arise from partial desolvation of substrate and enzyme active site, have been postulated by Stein<sup>15-17</sup> for  $k_E$  of elastase-catalyzed reactions. Equation 37 corresponds to this model. This equation

$$
k_{\text{E},n} = k_{\text{E}} Z^{-n} (1 - n + n \phi_3^{\text{T}})
$$
 (37)

produces a fit that is indistinguishable from that of Figure 2 when  $Z = 0.71$  and  $\phi_3^T = 0.5$ . The weakness of the model embodied in eq 37 is again the isotopic insensitivity of  $k_E$  for esters (cf. Table V). If binding is primarily rate determining, which is possible for the more reactive esters, one should observe  $D_2O_{k_E} \sim Z$ . The absence of solvent isotope effects for esters requires in each case the fortuitous cancellation of the isotope effect generated by the  $Z$  term. Such a situation strains credulity. In addition, this model does not provide a rationale for the low  $pK_a$  values for  $k_E$ .

**A** third model that can generate upward-bowing proton inventories is a particular case of the virtual transition-state model of eq 25, wherein the isotope effect from a one-proton  $k_3$  transition state is offset by a many-proton isotope effect for the  $k_2$  step. This situation is described by eq 38. This model accounts

$$
k_{\mathbf{E},n}^{-1} = k_{\mathbf{E}}^{-1} \left( f_2 Z^n + \frac{f_3}{1 - n + n \phi_3^{\mathrm{T}}} \right) \tag{38}
$$

#### **SCHEME VII. Conformational Equilibrium Model for Proton Inventories of**  $k_E$  **of AChE Catalysis**

entories of 
$$
k_E
$$
 of AChE Catalysis  
\n
$$
E^0 + S \xrightarrow[\kappa_0]{\kappa_0} E S^0 \xrightarrow{\kappa_0} E^0 + P
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_0 ||
$$
\n
$$
E^* + S \xrightarrow[\kappa_0]{\kappa_0} E S^* \xrightarrow{\kappa_0} E^* + P
$$

$$
k_{\mathsf{E}}[\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}] = k_{\mathsf{E}}^{\mathsf{O}}[\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{O}}] + k_{\mathsf{E}}^{\mathsf{H}}[\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{H}}] \tag{39}
$$

$$
k_{E}^{0} = k_{ES}^{0}/K_{s}^{0}
$$
  $k_{E}^{*} = k_{ES}^{*}/K_{s}^{*}$  (40)

$$
[E^{0}] = [E_{T}]/(1 + K_{0}) \qquad [E^{*}] = K_{0}[E_{T}]/(1 + K_{0}) \qquad (41)
$$

$$
k_{\rm E} = \frac{k_{\rm E}^{\rm O} + k_{\rm E} * \kappa_{\rm O}}{1 + K_{\rm O}} \tag{42}
$$

$$
k_{E,n} = \frac{k_E^0 + k_E^* K_0 (1 - n + n\phi^*)}{1 + K_0 (1 - n + n\phi^*)}
$$
 (43)

for the possibility that a solvent isotope effect accompanies the AChE isomerization step  $k_2$  due to solvation changes. A Z-term isotope effect for  $k_2$  could account for the difference in the intrinsic isotope effects for ONCA hydrolysis that resulted from fitting the proton inventory to eq 25 ( $D_2O_{k_3} = 2.3$ ) and from the DMSO inhibition experiment  $(\bar{D}_2 O_{R_3} = 1.95)$ . If the intrinsic isotope effect is **1.95,** one can generate a fit that is indistinguishable from that in Figure **2** by using eq **38**  with  $f_2 = f_3 = 0.5$  and  $Z = 0.9$ . Other combinations of *f3* and 2 produce fits that cannot accommodate both the observed isotope effect and the curvature of the proton inventory. Therefore, if there is a 2-term isotope effect for  $k_2$ , it is not very great, and the indication is that  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  both contribute prominently to rate determination. The virtual transition-state model remains intact.

A fourth model that can accommodate the upwardbowing proton inventory of Figure **2** is one in which there are two conformations of the enzyme that have different activities. This situation is outlined in Scheme VII, which is a more general form of the conformational equilibrium model of Scheme V that was proposed by Rosenberry and Bernhard.<sup>109</sup> Note that the mechanism in Scheme VII assumes that equilibration of  $E^0$  and  $E^*$ and of  $ES^0$  and  $ES^*$  is rapid. If this is not so, one has the mechanism of Scheme I1 from which the virtual transition-state model comes. Equations **39-43** of Scheme VI1 outline the derivation of the dependence of  $k_{\text{E},n}$  on n (eq 43). As eq 42 shows,  $k_{\text{E}}$  is a weighted average of the conformation-dependent  $k_{\mathrm{E}}{}^{\mathrm{o}}$  and  $k_{\mathrm{E}}{}^*$ . The weighting factors are those of eq **41,** which account for the contributions of  $E^0$  and  $E^*$  to the conformational equilibrium. In eq 43,  $k_{\text{E}}$ <sup>\*</sup> must be  $> k_{\text{E}}$ <sup>0</sup> for  $\phi$ <sup>T</sup> < 1 or  $D_2O_{k_E} > 1$  will not result. The simplest situation, one in which the solvent isotope effect on  $K_0$  arises from a protonic site in  $E^*$  with a fractionation factor  $\phi^*$ , is assumed. If instead  $k_E^0$  and/or  $k_E^*$  are subject to solvent isotope effects that arise from one or more transition-state protons (cf. eq **19),** a linear or downward-bowing proton inventory for  $k<sub>E</sub>$  will result. Computer simulations of eq **43** generate fits of the ONCA proton inventory that are indistinguishable from that of Figure 2. For simulations in which  $k_{\rm E}^*/k_{\rm E}^0$  covers a range from 3 to 1000,  $K_0 = 1-2$  and  $\phi^* = 0.26-0.45.^{133}$ Therefore, in order for the conformational equilibrium model to operate, the fractionation factor for E\* must

take on values that are unprecedented for the prototropic functionalities of amino acid side chains (save  $RSH<sup>119-123</sup>$ ). In fact, fractionation factors in the range **0.26-0.45** are common for transition-state proton transfers between the heteroatoms 0, N, and **S.114-121**  However, Kreevoy et al.<sup>132</sup> described fractionation factors in this range for short, strong H bonds in assemblies of the type  $[A-H-A]$ <sup>-</sup> in organic solvents, where A is such as  $F$ <sup>-</sup> or *p*-nitrophenoxide. An additional weakness of the conformational equilibrium model is its inability to explain the variability of  $pK<sub>a</sub>$  values for  $k_{\rm E}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of neutral esters<sup>2,20,27</sup> and anilides. $18,20$ 

The salient feature of the foregoing discussion of nonlinear and upward-bulging proton inventories is that none of the alternate models is capable of accounting for the diverse observations for  $k_{\rm E}$  of AChE reactions. Only the virtual transition-state model readily explains the nonlinear proton inventories, the dependence of  ${}^{\text{D}_2\text{O}}\!k_{\text{E}}$  and  ${}^{\text{D}}\!k_{\text{E}}$  on substrate reactivity, the pH– $k_{\text{E}}$  behavior, the dependence of  $P_2O_{k_{\rm E}}$  on DMSO inhibition, and the nonlinear Eyring plots. This versatility makes the virtual transition-state model easily the one of choice.

# *3. Solvent Isotope Effects and Effective (Virtual)*  **Reactant State for**  $k_{FS}$

values are invariably larger than the corresponding  $P_2O_{\mathcal{R}_E}$  values. This is especially significant for the anilides, because their  $k_{ES}$  values are smaller than those of acyl-similar esters (compare ONFA and PMPF, ONA and ONPA, ONCA and PCA), and therefore  $k_{ES}$  for anilides *must* be rate limited by a transition state in the acylation stage of catalysis.<sup>21,134</sup> That  $D_2O_{k_{\text{ES}}} > D_2O_{k_{\text{E}}}$  necessitates that the solvent isotope-sensitive step comes after the solvent isotope-insensitive step. This order of microscopic events was assumed when the mechanism of Schemes I1 and VI was formulated. Furthermore, the greater expression of the intrinsic isotope effect  $D_2O_{k_3}$ in  $D_2O_{k_{ES}}$  than in  $D_2O_{k_{E}}$  allows one to calculate the distribution of stable states  $ES<sub>1</sub>$  and  $ES<sub>2</sub>$  for ONCA and ONFA. For rate-determining acylation eq **16** reduces to eq 44 at pH  $\gg pK_a$ . From this equation one can As the data in Table V indicate,  $D_2O_k$ 

$$
k_{\rm ES} = \frac{k_2 k_3}{k_{-2} + k_2 + k_3} \tag{44}
$$

derive eq 45 for the solvent isotope effect for  $k_{ES}$ . The

$$
D_2O_{k_{\text{ES}}} = \frac{D_2O_{k_3} + R}{1 + R}
$$
, where  $R = \frac{C}{1 + k_2/k_{-2}}$  (45)

factor  $1 + k_2/k_{-2}$  accounts for the distribution of bound states between  $ES_1$  and  $ES_2$ . Thus, from eqs 28 and 45, eq **46** follows. Since the requisite isotope effects and

$$
\frac{D_2 O_{k_{ES}} - 1}{D_2 O_{k_{ES}} - 1} = \frac{1 + C}{1 + R}
$$
 (46)

**C** values are available for ONCA and ONFA, *R* can be calculated from eq 46 and  $k_2/k_{-2}$  can be calculated from eq **45.** These results and other data are contained in Table VII. The values of  $k_2/k_{-2}$  show that at substrate saturation AChE is predominantly in the  $ES_2$  state. Therefore, the  $k_3$  transition state is more rate determining for  $k_{ES}$  than for  $k_E$ , as the greater expression of

**TABLE VII. Reaction Dynamics and Thermodynamics for AChE-Catalyzed Anilide Hvdrolvses"** 

	substrate				
parameter	<b>ONCA</b>	<b>ONA</b>	<b>ONFA</b>		
$C^b$	$2.0~(\pm 0.2)$	1.4 $(\pm 0.2)$			
	$2.0 (\pm 0.4)$	1.4 $(\pm 0.2)$	$2.0~(\pm 0.4)$		
R	$0.25 \ (\pm 0.10)$		$0.5 (\pm 0.3)$		
$k_2/k_{-2}$	7.0 $(\pm 3.5)$		$3.0 \ (\pm 2.7)$		
$\Delta G_{\rm E}$ , kcal mol <sup>-1</sup>	18.8	20.3	21.2		
$\Delta G_2$ <sup>*</sup> , kcal mol <sup>-1</sup>	18.6	20.0	21.0		
$\Delta G_3^*$ : kcal mol <sup>-1</sup>	18.1	19.8	20.5		
$\Delta G_{\text{ES}}$ , kcal mol <sup>-1</sup>	4.0	5.9	4.5		
$\Delta G_1$ , kcal mol <sup>-1</sup>	4.7		4.9		
$\Delta G_2$ , keal mol <sup>-1</sup>	4.2		4.9		

**aFree energy changes were calculated as described in the text**  and as detailed in ref 21. <sup>b</sup> The first row of commitments was calculated by using eq 28 and  $D_2O_{k_E}$  values from Table V. Intrinsic **isotope effects for** *k,* **used in the calculations are those for the DMSO-inhibited ONCA and ONA reactions; see ref 21 for elaboration. The second row of commitments are from Table VI.** 

 $D_2O_{k_3}$  in  $D_2O_{k_{ES}}$  necessitates. It is important to note, however, that the phenomenological transition state for  $k_{ES}$  is still a virtual transition state. The weighting factors in this case are given by eq **47.** The weighting

$$
f_2 = \frac{R}{R+1} \quad f_3 = \frac{1}{R+1} \tag{47}
$$

factors are determined not only by the relative free energies of the  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  transition states, as reflected in C, but also by the relative free energies of  $ES<sub>1</sub>$  and ES<sub>2</sub>, as reflected in  $k_2/k_{-2}$ .

#### *4. Free Energy Diagram for Anilide Hydrolyses*

The values of *C* and  $k_2/k_{-2}$  that were determined from solvent isotope effects and proton inventories can be used to construct the free energy diagram for anilide hydrolysis. To calculate the free energies of the  $k_2$  and  $k<sub>3</sub>$  transition states, one first calculates the phenomenological free energy of activation<sup>125</sup> as shown in eq 48.

$$
\Delta G_{\rm E}^* = -RT \ln (k_{\rm E} h / k_{\rm B} T) \tag{48}
$$

In this equation  $k_B$  and  $h$  are Boltzmann's and Planck's constants, respectively.  $\Delta G_{\rm E}$ <sup>+</sup> values for anilides are presented in Table VII. All  $\Delta G$  calculations in the table refer to a substrate standard state of  $1 \mu M$  and equal standard states (e.g., 1 nM) for E and ES complexes, including transition states. The free energy equivalent of eq 6 of Chart VI for a virtual transition state is

$$
e^{\Delta G_{\rm E}^{\ast}/RT} = e^{\Delta G_2^{\ast}/RT} + e^{\Delta G_3^{\ast}/RT} \tag{49}
$$

Since  $C = k_3/k_{-2}$  and the  $k_2$  transition state is the  $k_{-2}$ transition state, the relative free energies of the  $k_2$  and *k3* transition states are

$$
RT \ln C = \Delta G_2^* - \Delta G_3^* \tag{50}
$$

Equations **49** and **50** are used to solve for the individual free energies of activation in terms of measureable quantities.

$$
\Delta G_2^* = \Delta G_E^* + RT \ln f_2 \tag{51}
$$

$$
\Delta G_3^* = \Delta G_E^* + RT \ln f_3 \tag{52}
$$

The relationship among  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$ , and *C* is given in eq 29.



**ReoEflm** *Fmgress* 

**Figure 7. Free energy diagram for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA. Reproduced with permission from ref 21. Copyright 1987 American Chemical Society.** 

The phenomenological free energy change for stable state formation is calculated as follows.

$$
\Delta G_{\rm ES} = RT \ln K_{\rm m} \tag{53}
$$

Km-l comes from dividing eq **3** by eq **44** 

The phenomenological free energy change for stable  
\ntate formation is calculated as follows.  
\n
$$
\Delta G_{\text{ES}} = RT \ln K_{\text{m}}
$$
\n(53)  
\n
$$
K_{\text{m}}^{-1} \text{ comes from dividing eq 3 by eq 44}
$$
\n
$$
K_{\text{m}}^{-1} = K_1^{-1} + [K_2(1+C)]^{-1} = K_1^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{k_2/k_{-2}}{1+C}\right)
$$
\n(54)

In this equation  $K_1 = k_{-1}/k_1$  and  $K_2 = k_{-1}/k_{-2}/k_1k_2$  are the dissociation constants of  $ES<sub>1</sub>$  and  $ES<sub>2</sub>$ , respectively. Equation 54 shows that  $K_m$  is comprised of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  $(1 + C)$ , the respective Michaelis constants for  $ES<sub>1</sub>$  and  $ES<sub>2</sub>$ . Therefore, the microscopic free energy changes for  $ES_1$  and  $ES_2$  formations are

$$
\Delta G_1 = RT \ln K_1
$$
  $\Delta G_2 = RT \ln [K_2(1+C)]$  (55)

Eqs **53-55** are used to derive eq 56 and **57** for the stable state **AG** values in terms of measureable quantities.

and ES<sub>2</sub> formations are  
\n
$$
RT \ln K_1
$$
  $\Delta G_2 = RT \ln [K_2(1 + C)]$  (55)  
\n55 are used to derive eq 56 and 57 for the stable  
\n7 values in terms of measurable quantities.  
\n $\Delta G_1 = \Delta G_{ES} + RT \ln \left(1 + \frac{k_2/k_{-2}}{1 + C}\right)$  (56)

$$
\Delta G_2 = \Delta G_1 + RT \ln [(k_{-2}/k_2)(1+C)] \qquad (57)
$$

Table VII contains  $\Delta G$  values that were calculated from eqs 51, 52, 56, and 57. The  $\Delta G$  values for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONCA were used to construct the free energy diagram of Figure 7. As the free energy diagram shows, stable states of similar free energies precede transition states of **,similar** free energies. Hence, the acylation stage of AChE catalyzed anilide hydrolyses displays matched internal thermodynamics.<sup>32,135</sup>

### **D. Vlrtual Transition States for Ester Hydrolyses**

### 1. Probes of Virtual Transition States for  $k_F$

Unlike anilides, none of the solvent isotope effect measurements done in my laboratory for  $k_E$  of ester substrates indicates significant rate determination by the chemical  $k_3$  transition state, as data in Table V for ONPA through PMPCA demonstrate.<sup>19,20</sup> Moreover, for ONPA<sup>19</sup> and PMPF<sup>20</sup> the respective  $\beta$ -D and  $\alpha$ -D secondary isotope effects are within experimental error of unity, which indicates that there is little nucleophilic interaction between AChE and the carbonyl carbon of the substrate in the transition state for  $k_{\rm E}$ . These iso-

#### **Acetylcholinesterase**

tope effects, therefore, support a model in which  $k_1$ and/or  $k_2$ , which respectively monitor substrate binding tope effects, therefore, support a model in which  $R_1$ <br>and/or  $k_2$ , which respectively monitor substrate binding<br>and  $ES_1 \rightarrow ES_2$  isomerization, are predominantly rate<br>determining. In this case, the commitment to ortally determining. In this case, the commitment to catalysis C is given by eq 3, and measures the tendency of  $ES_2$ to proceed to EA via the chemical  $k_3$  transition state vs. reversion to  $E + S$  via the kinetically significant  $k_1$ and/or  $k_2$  transition states. Though the lack of a solvent isotope effect for  $k<sub>E</sub>$  precludes determination of *C*, a reasonable estimate can be made. Consider the case of PMPF, for which  $D_2O_{k_B} = 1.09 \pm 0.01$ . If the intrinsic effect is  $D_2O_{k_3} \sim 2$ , which is typical for anilides and PNPA (cf. Table VI) and is the average  $D_2O_{k_{FS}}$  for esters (cf. Table V), then according to eq 28  $\bar{C} \sim 10$  is required to produce  $D_2O_{k_E} = 1.09$ . This estimate of C indicates that  $k_3$  is  $\leq 10\%$  rate determining, which is supported  $b_y$   $R_E = 0.99 \pm 0.01$ .

That  $k_{\rm E}$  for esters is rate limited by a virtual transition state is supported by additional observations: (1) the Eyring plot for  $k_{\rm E}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of PMPF is upward-bowing,<sup>20</sup> as discussed earlier for anilides. (2)  $\hat{D}_2 O_{k_{\rm E}}$  for PMPF increases from  $1.02 \pm 0.03$ at  $35 \text{ °C}$  to  $1.20 \pm 0.08$  at  $15 \text{ °C}$ . This temperature sensitivity of the isotope effect is consistent with partial exposure of the  $k_3$  transition state at lower temperature. (3) The pH dependence of  $k_{\rm E}$  for neutral esters generally gives p $K_a$  values in the range 5.2-5.8,<sup>2,20,27,76,77</sup> which is consistent with suppression of the intrinsic  $pK_a = 6.3$ of the active site histidine by significant rate determination by the pH-insensitive  $k_1$  and/or  $k_2$  steps. As was done for anilides, an estimate of the intrinsic  $pK_a$ for  $k_{\rm E}$  of PMPF hydrolysis can be calculated by using eq 30 and the **C** value estimated in the preceding paragraph. The result is an intrinsic p $K_a \sim 6.6$  (cf. Table **VI). (4)** For PNPA, the least reactive aryl ester in Table V, Rosenberry<sup>27</sup> measured  $P_2O_{k_E} = 1.93$  and Hogg et al.<sup>43</sup> measured  $D_2O_{k_E} = 1.56$ . Both determinations indicate that there is significant exposure of the chemical  $k_3$ transition state to rate determination. The proton inventory for PNPA<sup>43</sup> is well described by nonlinearleast-squares fitting to eq 25, **as** discussed earlier. The corresponding virtual transition-state parameters are contained in Table VI. Also, Rosenberry<sup>27</sup> measured  $D_2O_{k_E} \sim 2$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of methyl acetate, the least reactive substrate in Table V; this isotope effect is consistent with considerable rate determination by the  $k_3$  transition state.

# *2. Probes of Virtual Transition States for*  $k_{ES}$

Unlike  $k_E$ ,  $k_{ES}$  for ester substrates is prominently rate limited by chemical transition states. This is suggested by the sizeable  $D_2O_{k_{\text{ES}}}$  values of Table V for ONPA through PMPCA, and by inverse  $\beta$ -D and  $\alpha$ -D secondary isotope effects for  $k_{ES}$  of ONPA and PMPF, re $spectively.<sup>19,136</sup>$  The secondary isotope effects are consistent with nucleophilic AChE interaction at the carbonyl carbon in the transition state for  $k_{ES}$ .<sup>126-130</sup> Moreover, the  $k_{ES}$  values for acyl-similar esters (ONPA) and PA, PCA and PMPCA) are similar, which suggests that  $k_{ES}$  is prominently rate limited by deacylation  $(k_4)$ in Schemes I1 and VI). Therefore, for ester substrates, eq 15 simplifies to eq 58 at pH  $\gg pK_a$ . If eq 58 is



**Figure 8.** Proton inventory for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONPA, plotted **as** partial solvent isotope effects **oh** Vi. *Vi's* were determined at  $[ONPA] \gg K_m$ . See ref 19 for details.

correct,  $k_{ES}$  is rate limited by a virtual transition state that is comprised of the transition states of the  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  steps. The discussion that follows supports this assignment for  $k_{ES}$ .

The proton inventories for  $k_{ES}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONPA (Figure 8) and PCA are linear,<sup>19</sup> which indicates that AChE acts as a one-proton catalyst in the respective transition states. Kovach et al.<sup>22</sup> reached a similar conclusion on the basis of linear proton inventories for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of PA. These results are consistent with the description of proton inventories for  $k_{ES}$  of ester substrates by eq 59, a particular case of eq 19. However, the situation is

$$
k_{\text{ES},n} = k_{\text{ES}}(1 - n + n\phi^{\text{T}})
$$
 (59)

not as simple as first meets the eye. Froede and Wilson<sup>26</sup> recently showed that  $k_{ES}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh is 68% rate limited by deacylation, **k4.**  As Acheson et al.<sup>19</sup> showed, one can calculate the fraction of rate determination by  $k_4$  for alternate acetate ester substrates from the ratio of  $k_{\text{ES}}$  for the alternate substrate to that for ACh. Therefore, since  $k_{\text{ES}}$  for PA is 10% higher than that for  $ACh, ^{2,27}k_{ES}$  for PA is  $75\%$ rate limited by deacylation.<sup>22</sup> In turn, the ONPA reaction is 56% rate limited by deacylation because  $k_{ES}$ for ONPA is **74%** of that for PA. One is then obliged to describe the transition state for  $k_{ES}$  of ONPA hydrolysis **as** a virtual transition state that is comprised of nearly equal contributions from acylation and deacylation,<sup>19</sup> and the correct expression for describing the ONPA proton inventory of Figure 8 is that of eq 60.

$$
k_{\text{ES},n}^{-1} = k_{\text{ES}}^{-1} \left( \frac{0.44}{1 - n + n\phi_3^{\text{T}}} + \frac{0.56}{1 - n + n\phi_4^{\text{T}}} \right) (60)
$$

The fractionation factors  $\phi_3^T$  and  $\phi_4^T$  are those of the general acid-base proton bridges that stabilize the acylation and deacylation transition states, respectively. The linear ONPA proton inventory suggests that the  $\text{solvent isotope effects}\ \mathrm{^{D_2O}k_3} = 1/{\phi_3}^{\Upsilon} \ \text{and}\ \mathrm{^{D_2O}k_4} = 1/{\phi_4}^{\Upsilon}$ are nearly the same, so that eq 60 reduces to eq 59. The



**Figure 9.** Qualitative free energy diagram for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONPA.

Eyring plot for  $k_{ES}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONPA is nonlinear and upward-bowing, $19$  which is also indicative of a virtual transition state comprised of serial microscopic transition states. However,  $D_2O_{k_{\text{ES}}}$ does not vary with temperature, which supports the contention that  $D_2O_{k_3} \sim D_2O_{k_4}$ . It appears then that the solvent isotope-insensitive  $k_2$  transition state does not make an important contribution to rate determination of the acylation component of  $k_{\text{ES}}$ . The pH- $k_{\text{ES}}$  profile for ONPA depends on a p $K_a = 6.31 \pm 0.03$ ,<sup>19</sup> which agrees with the intrinsic  $pK_a$  of the active site histi- $\dim e^{2.25,27}$  and again suggests that the pH-insensitive  $k_2$ step does not make an important contribution to rate limitation of the acylation component of  $k_{ES}$ .

# *3. Free Energy Diagram for Ester Hydrolyses*

A qualitative free energy diagram for aryl ester hydrolyses is shown in Figure 9. Some quantitative features of the diagram for ONPA hydrolysis can be gleaned from experimental data. The free energies of activation of the chemical  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  steps are nearly the same, since each step contributes about equally to rate determination of  $k_{ES}$ . The stable states  $ES_2$  and  $EA$ ramp downhill in free energy even in the presence of o-nitrophenol because product inhibition is not observed.<sup>19</sup> Moreover, the  $k_3$  transition state is  $\sim$ 1.4 kcal/mol lower in free energy than is the  $k_2$  and/or  $k_1$ transition state, because the commitment  $C$  (cf. eq 6) must be  $\sim$  10 so that  $D_2O_{k_3} \sim 2$  is not expressed in  $D_2O_{k_2}$ . Since the virtual transition state for  $k_{ES}$  is not prominently rate limited by  $k_2$ ,  $k_2/k_{-2}$  must be large and  $k_2$  $\gg k_3$ . These conditions prevent the ES<sub>1</sub> complex from accumulating at substrate saturation. The free energy diagram thus accounts for the isotope effects for reactions of ONPA and other esters. When  $k_E$  is measured, the reactant state is  $E + S$ , and there are no substrate or solvent isotope effects because  $k_1$  and/or  $k_2$  is rate determining. When  $k_{ES}$  is measured, the reactant state is  $ES_2 + EA$ , and the isotopically sensitive  $k_3$  and  $k_4$ transition states are rate limiting. The free energy diagram for esters shares the interesting feature of

# **CHART VIII. Chemical Transition States for AChE Catalysis**

**Acylation** 



matched internal thermodynamics<sup>32,135</sup> with the free energy diagram of Figure **7,** albeit with the transitionstate and stable-state free energies ramping downhill as described by Benner and co-workers<sup>137</sup> for essentially irreversible reactions.

# **E. Structural Features of Chemical Transition States**

All AChE proton inventories conducted to date that directly probe intrinsic structural features of chemical transition states are linear. These include proton inventories of  $k_E$  for DMSO-inhibited AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ONA and ONCA<sup>21</sup> and proton inventories of  $k_{ES}$  for ONPA, PCA,<sup>19</sup> and PA<sup>22</sup> hydrolyses. Hence, AChE stabilizes chemical transition states via simple general acid-base catalysis, with the likely involvement of proton bridges between the imidazole side chain of the active site histidine and the substrate-derived portion of the transition state. Since AChE catalyzes acyl transfer reactions, which generally proceed via tetrahedral intermediates (cf. Scheme III),<sup>138</sup> there are at least four chemical transition states, two each in the acylation and deacylation stages of catalysis.<sup>139</sup> Chart VI11 shows structures of chemical transition states that are consistent with the substrate and solvent isotope effects presented in this review.

There are two features of the chemical transition states of Chart VI11 that deserve comment. The first feature comes from substrate secondary isotope effects, which are consistent with partial  $sp^2 \rightarrow sp^3$  rehybridization in both the acylation and deacylation transition states.<sup>126-130</sup> The  $\overline{Dk}_{ES}$  values for ONPA and PMPF indicate that fractional rehybridization is  $0.3 \pm 1$ 0.1.<sup>19,136,140</sup> However, since both acylation and deacylation contribute to rate determination of  $k_{ES}$  for ONPA, there are two extreme possibilities for the indicated degree of rehybridization. One is that fractional rehybridization of 0.3 is an intrinsic structural feature of both the acylation and deacylation transition states. The second is that in the acylation (or deacylation) transition state the carbonyl carbon has undergone a fractional rehybridization of 0.6, while there is no rehybridization in the deacylation (or acylation) transition state. Since acylation and deacylation are comparably rate determining for  $k_{ES}$  of ONPA, this latter possibility

corresponds to an apparent fractional rehybridization of 0.3 for the virtual transition state (cf. eq **13).** Which of these two possibilities operates cannot be decided at present. The  $\alpha$ -D secondary isotope effect  $R_{ES} = 0.85$ **f** 0.06 for ONFA, which is consistent with an acylation present. The  $\alpha$ -D secondary isotope effect  $\nu_{R_{\rm ES}} = 0.85 \pm 0.06$  for ONFA, which is consistent with an acylation<br>transition state that involves a fractional sp<sup>2</sup>  $\rightarrow$  sp<sup>3</sup><br>republikation of 0.5 + 0.2. However, the i rehybridization of  $0.5 \pm 0.2$ . However, the intrinsic  $\alpha$ -D effect should be more inverse and the fractional rehybridization more complete, since the observed effect<br>monitors a virtual transition state. The  $\alpha$ -D effect  $R_{\kappa}$  $= 0.88 \pm 0.02$  for ONFA also indicates that significant substrate rehybridization has occurred in the acylation transition state. The estimated intrinsic effect was  $P_{k_2}$  $= 0.64 \pm 0.10$ , which is consistent with complete  $\frac{\text{sp}}{2}$ ->sp<sup>3</sup> rehybridization in the chemical  $k_3$  transition state. Therefore, AChE catalysis involves quasi-tetrahedral chemical transition states, which one expects for an addition-elimination mechanism.

The second feature of the chemical transition states for AChE catalysis is the nature of the enzyme's general acid-base catalytic machinery. Unlike the serine proteases, which behave as two-proton "charge-relay"<sup>64</sup> catalysts for reactions of peptide substrates, $^{17,79-82}$  AChE always behaves as a one-proton catalyst. This prototropic catalysis includes the physiological deacetylation reaction, as the linear proton inventories for ONPA<sup>19</sup> and  $PA^{22}$  demonstrate. The serine protease analogy thus does not apply to the AChE acid-base catalytic machinery, which suggests that the AChE active site does not contain an Asp-His-Ser triad that characterizes the serine protease active site.<sup>7-9,64</sup> This is not surprising since serine proteases and AChE have little sequence homology, $^{61,66}$  and AChE does not have Asp, His, and Ser residues comparably positioned in the primary sequence. $61,65,66$  Therefore, AChE structure and reaction dynamics support each other in nixing the serine protease analogy.

# *IV. AChE Reaction Dynamics and the Evolution of Enzyme Catalytic Power*

As mentioned earlier,  $k_E$  for AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of the physiological substrate ACh is diffusion controlled, which is a hallmark of reaction dynamics for enzymes whose catalytic power cannot be greatly enhanced by further evolution. Albery and Knowles<sup>32,135</sup> described a scenario for the evolution of enzyme catalytic power in which the free energies of successive transition states are eventually nearly matched and comparable to the unerodible diffusional barrier for substrate binding, and in which the free energies of bound states are comparable. Enzyme reactions that have such free energetics are said to display "matched internal thermodynamics". One might expect that AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of ACh would display this kind of reaction thermodynamics. The surprise comes when one considers the free energy diagrams for hydrolyses of anilides (Figure **7)** and aryl esters (Figure 9). The diagram for anilides shows that internal transition-state free energies are matched, as are stable-state free energies. Admittedly, the free energies of the  $k_2$ and *k3* transition states are somewhat higher than that of the  $k_1$  transition state (i.e., binding does not contribute significantly to rate determination for  $k<sub>E</sub>$ ), but one might imagine that the anilide free energy diagram is reminiscent of an earlier stage in the evolution of

AChE catalytic power. Matched internal thermodynamics appear to mark the reactions of **all** three anilides studied thus far, even though  $k_E$  for ONFA, the least reactive, is  $>10^5$ -fold less than that of ACh.<sup>20</sup> The free energy diagram for aryl esters extends these speculations to the deacylation stage of catalysis. For ONPA, the microscopic free energy barriers for the chemical steps of acylation and deacylation are matched, and the free energies of the  $k_3$  transition state on the one hand and the  $\bar{k}_1$  and/or  $k_2$  transition state on the other are within 1-2 kcal/mol. ACh shares some of these features, in that  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  are comparable.<sup>26</sup>

It is, therefore, interesting to speculate that in the dim past AChE was an evolving broad-spectrum hydrolytic enzyme. This supposition is supported by the extensive homology in the active site regions of T. *californica* AChE and equine aliesterase,<sup>66</sup> whose physiological function is unrelated to that of AChE. This supposition is in accord with AChE's promiscuous substrate specificity, which requires a conformationally plastic enzyme active site. As discussed in this review, structural studies of the enzyme support such conformational plasticity (cf. **11.** AChE Structure C. Active Site Structure). Moreover, reaction dynamics for  $k_{\text{E}}$  of various substrates are consistent with an induced-fit step that precedes chemical catalysis and that adjusts the active site to stabilize the  $k_3$  transition state.<sup>2,18-21,27</sup> AChE may have come with catalytic power nearly optimal upon its physiological substrate relatively recently in evolution and in response to the need for neurotransmission in the primeval nervous system. That is, perhaps AChE catalytic power converged on a substrate (ACh) whose physiological role was also evolving.

# *V. Future Directions*

The ability to characterize the chemistry and reaction dynamics of AChE catalysis is in its infancy. The next several years should witness a significant increase in our understanding of the structural basis of AChE catalysis and catalytic power. In particular, the methods described in this review will be extended to ACh and its close structural homologues so that eventually the reaction dynamics, thermodynamics, and intrinsic chemical transition-state structures of physiological AChE catalysis are defined. The complete amino acid sequence of an AChE has only recently been determined.61 One can imagine that cloning of the AChE gene and determination of the X-ray structure of the enzyme are not far behind. Knowledge of the enzyme's amino acid sequence will aid greatly in interpreting the effects of chemical modifications of specific amino acids on AChE catalysis and ligand interactions. Site-directed mutagenesis of AChE should eventually prove a powerful tool for delineating the structural basis of the complex reaction dynamics, enzyme conformational dynamics and ligand interactions discussed herein. For example, the importance of an active site anionic locus can be probed by this technique. The ability to construct free energy diagrams from isotope effects and other kinetics should prove especially powerful in interpreting the effects of chemical and mutagenic amino acid modifications. It seems highly likely that by using recently developed techniques for probing AChE structure-function, coupled with techniques that should come online within the next few years, an understanding of enzyme catalytic power that is virtually unparalleled in hydrolytic enzymology will emerge.

*Acknowledgments.* The lion's share of investigations in my laboratory that are described in this review was performed by the following individuals: Dr. Scott Acheson, Dr. Paul Barlow, Dimitra Dedopoulou, Gerry Lee, and Mike Swanson. Their hard work is gratefully acknowledged. The following investigators and their co-workers kindly provided reprints and preprints that greatly helped in preparation of this review: Dr. Ildiko Kovach, Professors Terrone Rosenberry (thanks to Bill Roberts), Harvey Berman, Irwin **B.** Wilson, Urs Brodbeck, and Richard L. Schowen. I acknowledge the support of the National Institutes of Health for investigations of AChE catalysis (Grant NS21334) and for a Research Career Development Award (Grant HL01583) that provides sufficient relief from other demands so that a review such as this could be completed in a timely fashion.

*Registry* **No.** AChE, 9000-81-1.

#### *References and Notes*

- Abbreviations used: AChE, acetylcholinesterase; ACh, acetylcholine; ATCh, acetylthiocholine; DMBA, 3,3-dimethyl- butyl acetate; 2-PAM, 2-pyridinealdoximine methiodide; *kE,*   $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ ;  $k_{\text{ES}}$ ,  $k_{\text{cat}}$ ;  $K_{\text{i}}$ , enzyme-inhibitor dissociation constant; *Kd,* enzyme-ligand dissociation constant; PA, phenyl acetate; ONPA, o-nitrophenyl acetate; PCA, phenyl chloroacetate; PMPCA, p-methoxyphenyl chloroacetate; ONA, o-nitroacet-<br>anilide; ONCA, o-nitrochloroacetanilide; PMPF, p-methoxyanilide; ONCA, **o-nitrochloroacetanilide;** PMPF, p-methoxy- phenyl formate; PNPA, g-nitrophenyl acetate; ONFA, onitroformanilide; <sup>D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>k<sub>E</sub>, <sup>D<sub>2</sub>O</sup>k<sub>ES</sub>, solvent deuterium kinetic</sup></sub> isotope effects for *k*<sub>E</sub> and *k*<sub>ES</sub>, respectively; <sup>D</sup>*k*<sub>ES</sub>, <sup>D</sup>*k*<sub>E,</sub> sub-<br>strate secondary deuterium kinetic isotope effect for *k*<sub>ES</sub> and *kE,* respectively; a-D, (3-D, *a-* and @-deuterium, respectively. Rosenberry, T. L. *Ado. Enzymol. Relat. Areas Mol. Biol.*
- 1975, 43, 103–218.<br>Taylor, P. In *Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*; Gil-
- man, A. G., Goodman, L. S., Murad, F., Eds.; MacMillan:<br>New York, 1985; pp 110–129.<br>Summers, W. K.; Majovski, L. V.; Marsh, G. M.; Tachiki, K.;<br>Kling, A. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1986, 315, 1241–1245.<br>Thai, L. J.; Fuld, P. A.; M
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- Blow, D. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 145–152.<br>Kraut, J. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 1977, 46, 331–358.<br>Schowen, R. L. In *Transition States of Biochemical Pro-*<br>cesses; Gandour, R. D., Schowen, R. L., Eds.; Plenum: New  $(10)$ York, 1978; pp 77-114.
- 
- Stein, R. L. J. *Org. Chem.* 1981, 46, 3328-3330. Stein, R. L.; Fujihara, H.; Quinn, D. M.; Fischer, G.; Kullertz, G.: Barth, A.: Schowen. R. L. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1984. 106,
- Quinn, D. M.; Elrod, J. P.; Ardis, R.; Friesen, P.; Schowen, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1980, 102, 5358-5365.
- Stein, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1985, 107, 5767-5775.
- 
- 
- Stein, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6039–6042.<br>Stein, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7768–7769.<br>Stein, R. L.; Strimpler, A. M.; Hori, H.; Powers, J. C. Bio-<br>chemistry 1987, 26, 1305–1314.
- $(18)$ Quinn, D. M.; Swanson, M. L. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984, 106, 1883–1884.
- $(19)$ Acheson, S. **A.;** Dedopoulou, D.; Quinn, D. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1987, 109, 239-245.
- Acheson, S. A.; Barlow, P. N.; Lee, G. C.; Swanson, M. L.;<br>Quinn, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 246–252.<br>Barlow, P. N.; Acheson, S. A.; Swanson, M. L.; Quinn, D. M.<br>J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 253–257.<br>Kovach, I. M.;
- 
- 1986, *108,* 3054-3056.
- 
- Northrop, D. B. *Biochemistry* 1975, 14, 2644–2651.<br>Northrop, D. B. In *Isotope Effects on Enzyme-Catalyzed*<br>*Reactions;* Cleland, W. W., O'Leary, M. H., Northrop, D. B.,<br>Eds.; University Park: Baltimore, 1977; pp 122–152.
- 
- P. D., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1971; Vol. *5,* pp 87-il4. Froede, H. C.: **1%** Ison, I. B. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1984, 259, 11010-11013.
- (27) Rosenberry, T. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1975, **72,**  3834-3838.
- (28) In this review "acylation" has two meanings, which depend on the context. The rate constant  $k_{\rm E}$  always refers to conversion of  $E + S$  to the rate-determining transition state that precedes or is coincident with the first irreversible event in the mechanism, which for AChE is no later than the release of **P,** that completes the acylation stage of catalysis. When *kEs* is measured, acylation refers to those components of the rate constant that arise from kinetically significant transition states that lie between the initial Michaelis complex  $ES<sub>1</sub>$  and the acylenzyme EA.
- Fisher, L. M.; Albery, W. J.; Knowles, J. R. *Biochemistry* **1986**, *25*, 2529–2537.
- Rosenberry, T. L.; Bernhard, S. A. *Biochemistry* 1971, 10, 4114-4120. Bazelyansky, M.; Robey, E.; Kirsch, J. F. *Biochemistry* 1986,
- 25, 125-130.
- Knowles, J. R.; Albery, W. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1977, *10,*   $05-11.$
- (33) Nolte, H. J.; Rosenberry, T. L.; Neumann, E. *Biochemistry* 1980,19, 3705-3711.
- Rosenberry, T. L.; Neumann, E. *Biochemistry* 1977, 16, 3870-3878.
- Naveh, M.; Bernstein, Z.; Segal, D.; Shalitin, Y. *FEBS Lett.*  1981, 134, 53-56.
- Bender, M. L.; Stoops, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1965, 87, 1622-1623.
- $(37)$ Ellman, G. L.; Courtney, K. D.; Andres, V., Jr.; Featherstone, R. M. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1961, 7, 88-95.
- $(38)$ Hillman, G. R.; Mautner, H. G. *Biochemistry* 1970, 9, 2633-2638.
- $(39)$ Moore, D. E.; Hess, G. P. *Biochemistry* 1975,14,2386-2389.
- MassouliB, J.; Rieger, F. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 1969,II, 441-455. Wilson, I. B.; Cabib, E. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* 1956, 78,202-207.  $(40)$
- The OH-catalyzed hydrolysis of p-nitroacetanilide has an observed rate constant of  $4.18 \times 10^{-6}$  s<sup>-1</sup> when [OH<sup>-</sup>] = 0.01 N. For the structurally analogous ester p-nitrophenyl acetate the observed rate constant, calculated from data in ref 43, is 0.085 s<sup>-1</sup> under the same conditions. Therefore, the reactivity for the ester is  $2 \times 10^4$  fold greater than for the anilide.
- Hogg, J. L.; Elrod, J. P.; Schowen, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.*  1980, 102, 2082-2086.
- Hasan, F. B.; Cohen, S. G.; Cohen, J. B. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1980, 255, 3898-3904.
- Kramer, D. N.; Gamson, R. M. *Anal. Chem.* 1958, 30, 251-254.
- $(46)$ Rosenberry, T. L. In *The Enzymes of Biological Membranes;*  Martonosi, A. N., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1985; Vol. 3, pp 403-429.
- $(47)$ Massoulié, J.; Bon, S. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 1982, 5, 57-106. Rosenberry, T. L.; Barnett, P.; Mays, C. *Neurochem. Int.*  **1980,2,** 135-147.  $(48)$
- Gordon, M. A.; Carpenter, D. E.; Wilson, I. B. *Mol. Phar-*
- *macol.* 1978, *14*, 266–270.<br>Ott, P.; Lustig, A.; Brodbeck, U.; Rosenbusch, J. P. *FEBS<br>Lett.* 1982, *138*, 187–189.  $(50)$
- Brimijoin, S.; Mintz, K. P. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1985,828, 290-297.
- Fambrough, D. M.; Engel, A. G.; Rosenberry, T. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1982, 79, 1078-1082. Brimijoin, S.; Mintz, K. P.; Alley, M. C. *Mol. Pharmacal.*   $(52)$
- $(53)$ 1983,24, 513-520.
- $(54)$ Dutta-Choudhury, T. A,; Rosenberry, T. L. *J. Biol. Chem.*  1984,259, 5653-5660.
- $(55)$ Kim, B. H.; Rosenberry, T. L. *Biochemistry* 1985, 24, 3586-3592. '
- Haas, R.; Brandt, P. T.; Knight, J.; Rosenberry, T. L. *Biochemistry* 1986,25, 3098-3105. Roberts, W. L.; Rosenberry, T. L. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*
- *Commun.* 1985,133,621-627. Rosenberry, T. L.; Roberts, W. L.; Haas, R. *Fed. Proc. Fed.*
- 
- *Am. SOC. Ezp. Biol.* 1986, 45, 2970-2975. Futerman, **A.** H.; Fiorini, R.-M.; Roth, E.; Low, M. G.; Sil-man, I. *Biochem. J.* 1985,226, 369-377.
- $(60)$ Majumdar, R.; Balasubramanian, A. S. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*  1985, 34, 4109-4115.
- Schumacher, M.; Camp, S.; Maulet, Y.; Newton, M.; Mac-<br>Phee-Quigley, K.; Taylor, S. S.; Friedmann, T.; Taylor, P.<br>Nature (London) 1986, 319, 407-409.<br>Hartley, B. S. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B 1970, 257,
- 
- 
- 71–87.<br>
Alden, R. A.; Wright, C. S.; Kraut, J. Philos. Trans. R. Soc.<br>
Lond., B 1970, 257, 119–124.<br>
Elow, D. M.; Birktoft, J. J.; Hartley, B. S. Nature (London)<br>
1969, 221, 337–340.<br>
MacPhee-Quigley, K.; Vedvick, T. S.; T
- 
- 

- Schaffer, N. K.; Michel, H. O.; Bridges, A. F. *Biochemistry*<br>1973, *12, 2*946–2950.<br>Reddy, M. N.; Maraganore, J. M.; Meredith, S. C.; Heinrik-
- son, R. L.; Kezdy, F. J. *J. Bid.* Chem. **1986,261,9678-9683.**
- Berman, H. A.; Yguerabide, J.; Taylor, P. Biochemistry **1980,**  Mooser, G.; Sigman, D. S. Biochemistry **1974,13,2299-2307. 19, 2226-2235.**
- 
- Taylor, P.; Lappi, S. Biochemistry **1975, 14, 1989-1997.**  Berman, **H.** A.; Taylor, P. Biochemistry **1978,17,1704-1713,**  Berman, H. A.; Becktel, W.; Taylor, P. Biochemistry **1981,20,**
- **(74) 4xn~-4xin.** - - - - - - - -. Roskoski, R., Jr. Biochemistry **1974, 13, 5141-5144.**  Majumdar, R.; Balasubramanian, A. S. Biochemistry **1984,**
- **(75)**
- **23.4088-4093.**<br> **(76) Krupka, R. M. Biochemistry 1966. 5, 1983-1988.**<br> **(77) Krupka, R. M. Biochemistry 1966. 5. 1988-1998.**
- 
- 
- 
- (78) Reiner, E.; Aldridge, W. N. Biochem. J. 1967, 105, 171–179.<br>(79) Hunkapiller, M. W.; Forgac, M. D.; Richards, J. H. Biochemier, 1976, 15, 5581–5588.<br>(80) Elrod, J. P.; Hogg, J. L.; Quinn, D. M.; Venkatasubban, K.<br>(80) **1983,105, 2446-2452.**
- 
- **(82)** Stein, R. L. *J.* Am. Chem. SOC. **1983,** 105, **5111-5116. (831** Koehler. K. A.: Hess. G. P. Biochemistrv **1974.13.5345-5350.**
- (84) Dafforn, A.; Kerr, P.; Murray, R. R. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1976, 73, 323-329.
- **(85)** Wolfenden, R. In Transition States *of* Biochemical Pro- cesses: Gandour. R. D.: Schowen. R. L.. Eds.: Plenum: New York, **'1978;** pp **555-578.**
- **(86)** Kettner, C. A.; Shenvi, A. B. *J. Biol.* Chem. **1984, 259, 15106-15114.**
- **(87)** Koehler, K. A.; Lienhard, G. E. Biochemistry **1971,** 10, **2477-2483.**
- **(88)** Matthews, D. A.; Alden, R. A.; Birktoft, J. J.; Freer, S. T.; Kraut, J. *J. Biol.* Chem. **1975,250, 7120-7126. (89)** Brodbeck, U.; Schweikert, K.; Gentinetta, R.; Rottenberg, M.
- Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1979**, 567, 357–369.<br>(90) Gelb, M. H.; Svaren, J. P.; Abeles, R. H. *Biochemistry* 1985,
- **24, 1813-1817.**
- **(91)** Dafforn, A.; Neenan, J. P.; Ash, C. E.; Betts, L.; Finke, J. M.; Garman, J. A.; Rao, M.; Walsh, K.; Williams, R. R. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **1982, 104, 597-602.**
- **(92)** Jencks, W. P. Acc. Chem. Res. **1976, 9, 425-432. (93)** O'Brien, R. D. Bi0chem.J. **1969, 113, 713-719.**
- 
- (94) Palumaa, P.; Järv, J. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1984, 784, **35-39.** \_\_ **(95)** Tanford, **C.** The Hydrophobic Effect, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New
- 
- York, **1980;** pp **5-20. (96)** Hasan. F. B.: Elkind. J. L.: Cohen, S. G.: Cohen, J. B. *J. Biol.* ' Chem.' **1981,' 256, 7781-7785.**
- **(97)** Dafforn, A,; Jewell, M.; Anderson, M.; Ash, D.; Horvath, D.; Kitson, R.; Margiotta, S.; Rych, G. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1979,569, 23-30.**
- **(98)** Dafforn, A.; Anderson, M.; Ash, D.; Campagna, J.; Daniel, E.; Horwood, R.; Kerr, P.; Rych, G.; Zappitelli, F. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1977, 484, 375-385. (99)** Fersht, A. R. PFOC. R. SOC. Lond., *B.* **1974, 187, 397-407.**
- 
- (100) Berman, H. A.; Decker, M. M. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1986, 872, 125-133.**
- 
- **(101)** Pauling, L. *Am.* Sci. **1948, 36, 51-58. (102)** Cohen, **S.** G.; Lieberman, D.; Hasan, F. B.; Cohen, J. B. *J. Biol.* Chem. **1982,257, 14087-14092.**
- **(103)** Berman, H. A.; Decker, M. M. *J. Biol.* Chem. **1986, 261, 10646-10652.**
- **(104)** Mooser, G.; Schulman, H.; Sigman, D. S. Biochemistry **1972, 11, 1595-1602.**
- **(105)** Barnett, P.; Rosenberry, T. L. *J. Biol.* Chem. **1977, 252, 7200-7206.**
- **(106)** Changeux, J. P. Mol. Pharmacol. **1966, 2, 369-392.**
- **(107)** Amitai, G.; Ashani, Y.; Gafni, A.; Silman, I. Biochemistry **1982,21, 2060-2069.**
- **(108)** Berman, H. A.; Yguerabide, J.; Taylor, P. Biochemistry **1985, 24. 7140-7147.**
- **(109)** Rosenberry, T. L.; Bernhard, S. A. Biochemistry **1972, 11, 4308-4321.**
- **Acetylcholinesterase Chemical Reviews, 1987,** Vol. **87,** No. **5 979** 
	- **(110)** Krupka, R. M. Biochemistry **1964,3,1749-1754.**
	- **(1111** Froede. H. **C.:** Wilson. **I.** B.: Kaufman. H. Arch. Biochem. **~I**  Biophys. **1986,247, 420-423.**
	- **(112)** Desire, B.; Saint-Andre, S. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1981,659, 267-282.**
	- **(113)** Shinitzky, M.; Dudai, Y.; Silman, I. FEBS Lett. **1973, 30, 125-128.**
	- **(114)** Berman, H. A,; Olshefski, D. F.; Gilbert, M.; Decker, M. M. *J. Biol.* Chem. **1985,260, 3462-3468. (115)** Paee. J. D.: Wilson. I. B. *J. Biol.* Chem. **1985.260.1475-1478.**
	-
	- **(116)** Wfison, I. **B.;** Silman, I. Biochemistry **1977, 16,' 2701-2708. (117)** Page, J. D.; Wilson, I. B. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **1983,226,**
	- **(118)** Froede, H. C.; Wilson, I. B. *Mol.* Pharmacol. **1985, 27, 630-633. 492-497.**
	- **(119)** Schowen, K. B. J. In Transition States *of* Biochemical *Pro-*cesses; Gandour, R. D., Schowen, R. L., Eds.; Plenum: New York, **1978;** pp **225-283.**
	- **(120)** Schowen, K. B.; Schowen, R. L. Methods Enzymol. **1982,87, 551-606.**
	- **(121)** Venkatasubban, K. S.; Schowen, R. L. CRC Crit. Rev. *Bio*chem. 1985, 17, 1-44.
	- **(122)** Jarret, R. M.; Saunders, M. *J. Am.* Chem. SOC. **1985, 107, 2648-2654.**
	- (123) Belasco, J. G.; Bruice, T. W.; Albery, W. J.; Knowles, J. R.<br>Biochemistry 1986, 25, 2558–2564.<br>(124) In ref 18 and 20, a transform of eq 25, wherein the weighting
	- factors  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  were expressed in terms of the commitment to proton transfer catalysis (cf. eq 29), was used to fit proton to proton transfer catalysis (cf. eq 29), was used to fit proton inventories.
	- Glasstone, S.; Laidler, K. J.; Eyring, H. The Theory *of* Rate
	- *Processes*; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1941.<br>Kirsch, J. F. In *Isotope Effects on Enzyme-Catalyzed Reactions*; Cleland, W. W., O'Leary, M. H., Northrop, D. B.,<br>Eds.; University Park: Baltimore, 1977; pp 100–121.
	- **(127)** Klinman, J. P. Adu. Enzymol. Relat. Areas Mol. *Biol.* **1978,**  *46,* 415–494.
	- **(128)** Hogg, J. L.; Rodgers, J.; Kovach, I.; Schowen, R. L. J. Am. Chem. SOC. **1980, 102, 79-85.**
	- **(129)** Streitwieser, A., Jr.; Jagow, R. H.; Fahey, R. C.; Suzuki, S. *J.*  Am. Chem. SOC. **1958.80. 2326-2332.**
	- **(130)** Kovach, I. M.; Hogg, *J.* **L:;** Raben, T.; Halbert, K.; Rodgers, J.; Schowen, R. L. *J.* Am. Chem. SOC. **1980,102,1991-1999.**  The expected effect per D was calculated by taking the  $\beta$ -D effect reported in this reference for the hydration of 1,3-dichloroacetone to the one-fourth power.
	- **(131)** Kovach, I. M.; Quinn, D. M. *J.* Am. Chem. SOC. **1983, 105, 1947-1950.**
	- **(132)** Kreevoy, M. M.; Liang, T.-M.; Chang, K.4. *J.* Am. Chem. SOC. **1977,99, 5207-5209.**
	- (133) A normal solvent isotope effect,  ${}^{D_2O}k_E = 1.4$ , is also predicted<br>if  $k_E^O/k_E^* = 10$ ,  $K_0 = 1$  and  $\phi^* = 2.1$ . However, this case<br>generates a downward-bowing proton inventory. In addition, there is no precedent for a fractionation factor of  $\sim$ 2. Therefore, models in which  $\phi^* \gg 1$  are not considered.
	- (134) If deacylation were rate limiting for anilides, eq 16 would<br>reduce to  $k_{ES} = k_4$  at pH  $\gg pK_a$ . However,  $k_4$  is the same for<br>acylsimilar esters and anilides and, consequently, the larger *h*<sub>2</sub>, values for esters require that acylation is rate limiting for anilides.
	- **(135)** Albery, W. J.; Knowles, J. R. Biochemistry **1976, 15, 5631-5640.**
	- (136) The  $\alpha$ -D effect for  $k_{ES}$  of AChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of PMPF is an unpublished observation of Acheson, S. A. and Quinn, D. M.
	-
	- (137) Stackhouse, J.; Nambiar, K. P.; Burbaum, J. L.; Stauffer, D.<br>M.; Benner, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2757-2763.<br>(138) Jencks, W. P. Catalysis in Chemistry and Enzymology;<br>McGraw-Hill: New York, 1969; pp 463-55
	- **(139)** There may well be more than two chemical transition states in the acylation and deacylation stages of catalysis. This situation would obtain if, for example, proton transfers and heavy atom rearrangements are not concerted.
	- **(140)** Fractional rehybridization was calculated by assuming that the secondary isotope effect depends linearly on the degree of rehybridization of the carbonyl carbon.<sup>128</sup>